FromDean Saitta <dsaitta@du.edu>SentThursday, April 23, 2009 1:41 pmToAAUP MembershipReAAUP on Ward Churchill

Dear All,

The national AAUP has taken a stand on the Ward Churchill brouhaha: http://www.aaup.org/AAUP/comm/rep/council/churchillres.htm

Kind of tepid, but the national AAUP, like so many other individuals and organizations, was conflicted about this case. Whatever you think of the person or the scholarship, justice was served from a due process standpoint. Recall that the DU chapter voted overwhelmingly a couple years ago to support the CU-Boulder chapter's position on the case. So did the Colorado Conference of AAUP. That position was, I think, pretty well vindicated by the testimony accumulated during the recently concluded trial in Denver District Court.

Now, if you wish, you may sign a letter urging Judge Larry Naves to reinstate Churchill to his faculty position at CU. Details are below.

Cheers,

Dean

Dean J. Saitta Professor, Department of Anthropology Co-President, Colorado Conference AAUP University of Denver Sturm Hall 146-S 2000 East Asbury Street Denver, CO 80208 Phone: 303-871-2680 Fax: 303-871-2437 Web: http://portfolio.du.edu/dsaitta

----- Original Message ---- From Ward Churchill <wardchurchill@yahoo.com>
Date Tue, 21 Apr 2009 14:00:17 -0700 (PDT)
To Dean Saitta <dsaitta@du.edu>
Subject Re: Reinstatement Letter

Hi, Dean:

We're gathering signatures for a letter to be submitted to the court along with my motion for reinstatement. If you can see your way clear to do so, I'd love to have you sign on.

The text of the letter -- endorsed by a hundred or so folks over the past 48 hours -- follows. Please review, and touch down with us at reinstatechurchill@gmail.com if you'd like your name to be added.

Please include your institutional affiliation (for identification purposes only). Also please bear in mind that the cut-off date is April 28.

Thanks, Ward

PS: Do feel free to pass the word around.

* * *

April 2009

Chief Judge Larry Naves Denver District Court 1437 Bannock St. Denver, CO 80202

cc: David Lane, Esq.Re: Churchill v. University of Colorado

Dear Judge Naves:

We, the undersigned professors and attorneys, urge you to grant Professor Ward Churchill's motion for reinstatement at the University of Colorado.

On April 2, 2009 the jury in this case found that Ward Churchill's exercise of freedom of speech was a substantial or motivating factor in his dismissal. Furthermore, the jury found that had it not been for Professor Churchill's controversial essay, which is clearly protected by the First Amendment, the Regents of the University of Colorado (CU) would not have fired him for research misconduct.

Under these circumstances, allowing the University to preclude Professor Churchill's return to campus would send the message that the First Amendment and academic tenure can be abridged at will by public employers.

Reinstatement is the usual, or "preferred," remedy in cases such as this. As federal courts of appeal have noted,

When a person loses his job, it is at best disingenuous to say that money damages can suffice to make that person whole.... We also note that reinstatement is an effective deterrent in preventing employer retaliation against employees who exercise their constitutional rights. If an employer's best efforts to remove an employee for unconstitutional reasons are presumptively unlikely to succeed, there is, of course, less incentive to use employment decisions to chill the exercise of constitutional rights.

Squires v. Bonser, 54 F.3d 168, 173 (3rd Cir. 1995), quoting Allen v. Autauga County Board of Education, 685 F.2d 1302, 1306 (11th Cir. 1982), and also citing Banks v. Burkich, 788 F.2d 1161, 1164 (6th Cir.1986) ("The prospect of money damages will not be sufficient for many employees to overcome the otherwise chilling effect that accompanies the threat of termination.").

In this case, the American Association of University Professors (AAUP)'s national council has passed a resolution which states, "We believe the disputes over Ward Churchill's publications should have been allowed to work themselves out in traditional scholarly venues, not referred to disciplinary hearings. We believe Churchill should be reinstated to his faculty position at the University of Colorado."

In opposing reinstatement, University of Colorado officials continue to rely upon the conclusions of their investigative committee, whose report has been discredited not only by the evidence introduced at trial and the jury's findings, but by numerous charges of research misconduct against its authors.

The University also claims that returning Professor Churchill to his job will cause excessive disruption on campus, a prediction that seems more smokescreen than actuality. Professors work relatively independently and students are free to take or refuse to take particular classes. In his nearly 30 years of service to CU, Professor Churchill received numerous awards for both teaching and service to the University. He continued to teach during the height of the controversy in 2005 and, at the students' request, taught a successful voluntary class in 2007-2008.

Speculative allegations of disruption should not be a means by which the University can continue to retaliate against Professor Churchill for his protected speech. Invoking similar claims in February 2005, CU administrators attempted to cancel a speech by Professor Churchill, yet the event took place without incident. To the extent that any disruptions are anticipated, it is the University's responsibility to minimize those disruptions and ensure a smooth transition back to the workplace for Professor Churchill.

In sum, we believe that the chilling effect of the actions of the University of Colorado can only be effectively deterred by granting reinstatement to Professor Churchill, and hope that you will reinforce the importance of the First Amendment in academia by so ordering.

Signed:

[institutional affiliations listed only for identification purposes]