



QUESTIONS

RESPONSES

24

24 responses



Not accepting responses



Message for respondents


This form is no longer accepting responses

SUMMARY

INDIVIDUAL


Concern for the Program's reputation on campus should affect our criteria for promotion.

24 responses




The Program's reputation on campus is better served by more stringent promotion criteria.

24 responses




Leaving reputation aside, the broader health of the Program is better served by more stringent promotion criteria.

24 responses




Concern for living costs and salaries should affect the criteria for promotion to full professor.

24 responses




It is reasonable to think that everyone in the Program right now could meet appropriate criteria for promotion to full professor when the time comes.

24 responses




Our current standard for promotion to full professor is too difficult.

24 responses




An easier path for promotion to full professor would diminish the value of a full professorship.

24 responses




For promotion to full professor, our current standard for replacing a terminal degree with alternative achievement is too difficult.

24 responses




Our current expectations for documenting achievements with artifacts requires too much material.

24 responses



Promotion and reappointment committees should restrict their evaluations to only the materials and narratives provided in a candidate's portfolio, leaving aside any broader, experiential knowledge of the candidate's work.

24 responses



We should change the role of our criteria from being analytic to being holistic.

24 responses

