Chapter 4

1. The initial position vector \vec{r}_{o} satisfies $\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{o} = \Delta \vec{r}$, which results in

$$\vec{r}_{o} = \vec{r} - \Delta \vec{r} = (3.0\hat{j} - 4.0\hat{k})m - (2.0\hat{i} - 3.0\hat{j} + 6.0\hat{k})m = (-2.0 \text{ m})\hat{i} + (6.0 \text{ m})\hat{j} + (-10 \text{ m})\hat{k}.$$

5. Using Eq. 4-3 and Eq. 4-8, we have

$$\vec{v}_{avg} = \frac{(-2.0\hat{i} + 8.0\hat{j} - 2.0\hat{k}) \text{ m} - (5.0\hat{i} - 6.0\hat{j} + 2.0\hat{k}) \text{ m}}{10 \text{ s}} = (-0.70\hat{i} + 1.40\hat{j} - 0.40\hat{k}) \text{ m/s}.$$

13. In parts (b) and (c), we use Eq. 4-10 and Eq. 4-16. For part (d), we find the direction of the velocity computed in part (b), since that represents the asked-for tangent line.

(a) Plugging into the given expression, we obtain

$$\vec{r}|_{t=2.00} = [2.00(8) - 5.00(2)]\hat{i} + [6.00 - 7.00(16)]\hat{j} = (6.00\hat{i} - 106\hat{j}) \text{ m}$$

(b) Taking the derivative of the given expression produces

$$\vec{v}(t) = (6.00t^2 - 5.00)\hat{i} - 28.0t^3\hat{j}$$

where we have written v(t) to emphasize its dependence on time. This becomes, at t = 2.00 s, $\vec{v} = (19.0\hat{i} - 224\hat{j})$ m/s.

(c) Differentiating the $\vec{v}(t)$ found above, with respect to t produces $12.0t\hat{i} - 84.0t^2\hat{j}$, which yields $\vec{a} = (24.0\hat{i} - 336\hat{j}) \text{ m/s}^2$ at t = 2.00 s.

(d) The angle of \vec{v} , measured from +*x*, is either

$$\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{-224 \text{ m/s}}{19.0 \text{ m/s}}\right) = -85.2^{\circ} \text{ or } 94.8^{\circ}$$

where we settle on the first choice (-85.2°) , which is equivalent to 275° measured counterclockwise from the +x axis) since the signs of its components imply that it is in the fourth quadrant.

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 60^{\circ}.$$

21. (a) From Eq. 4-22 (with $\theta_0 = 0$), the time of flight is

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{2h}{g}} = \sqrt{\frac{2(45.0 \text{ m})}{9.80 \text{ m/s}^2}} = 3.03 \text{ s.}$$

(b) The horizontal distance traveled is given by Eq. 4-21:

$$\Delta x = v_0 t = (250 \text{ m/s})(3.03 \text{ s}) = 758 \text{ m}.$$

(c) And from Eq. 4-23, we find

$$|v_y| = gt = (9.80 \text{ m/s}^2)(3.03 \text{ s}) = 29.7 \text{ m/s}.$$

25. We adopt the positive direction choices used in the textbook so that equations such as Eq. 4-22 are directly applicable. The initial velocity is horizontal so that $v_{0y} = 0$ and $v_{0x} = v_0 = 10$ m/s.

(a) With the origin at the initial point (where the dart leaves the thrower's hand), the y coordinate of the dart is given by $y = -\frac{1}{2}gt^2$, so that with y = -PQ we have $PQ = \frac{1}{2}(9.8 \text{ m/s}^2)(0.19 \text{ s})^2 = 0.18 \text{ m}.$

(b) From $x = v_0 t$ we obtain x = (10 m/s)(0.19 s) = 1.9 m.

40. We adopt the positive direction choices used in the textbook so that equations such as Eq. 4-22 are directly applicable. The initial velocity is horizontal so that $v_{0y} = 0$ and $v_{0x} = v_0 = 161$ km/h. Converting to SI units, this is $v_0 = 44.7$ m/s.

(a) With the origin at the initial point (where the ball leaves the pitcher's hand), the y coordinate of the ball is given by $y = -\frac{1}{2}gt^2$, and the x coordinate is given by $x = v_0t$. From the latter equation, we have a simple proportionality between horizontal distance and time, which means the time to travel half the total distance is half the total time. Specifically, if x = 18.3/2 m, then t = (18.3/2 m)/(44.7 m/s) = 0.205 s.

(b) And the time to travel the next 18.3/2 m must also be 0.205 s. It can be useful to write the horizontal equation as $\Delta x = v_0 \Delta t$ in order that this result can be seen more clearly.

(c) From $y = -\frac{1}{2}gt^2$, we see that the ball has reached the height of $\left|-\frac{1}{2}\left(9.80 \text{ m/s}^2\right)\left(0.205 \text{ s}\right)^2\right| = 0.205 \text{ m}$ at the moment the ball is halfway to the batter.

(d) The ball's height when it reaches the batter is $-\frac{1}{2}(9.80 \text{ m/s}^2)(0.409 \text{ s})^2 = -0.820 \text{ m}$, which, when subtracted from the previous result, implies it has fallen another 0.615 m. Since the value of y is not simply proportional to t, we do not expect equal time-intervals to correspond to equal height-changes; in a physical sense, this is due to the fact that the initial y-velocity for the first half of the motion is not the same as the "initial" y-velocity for the second half of the motion.

59. We apply Eq. 4-35 to solve for speed v and Eq. 4-34 to find centripetal acceleration a.

(a) $v = 2\pi r/T = 2\pi (20 \text{ km})/1.0 \text{ s} = 126 \text{ km/s} = 1.3 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s}.$

(b) The magnitude of the acceleration is

$$a = \frac{v^2}{r} = \frac{(126 \text{ km/s})^2}{20 \text{ km}} = 7.9 \times 10^5 \text{ m/s}^2.$$

(c) Clearly, both *v* and *a* will increase if *T* is reduced.

62. (a) The circumference is $c = 2\pi r = 2\pi (0.15 \text{ m}) = 0.94 \text{ m}.$

(b) With T = (60 s)/1200 = 0.050 s, the speed is v = c/T = (0.94 m)/(0.050 s) = 19 m/s. This is equivalent to using Eq. 4-35.

(c) The magnitude of the acceleration is $a = v^2/r = (19 \text{ m/s})^2/(0.15 \text{ m}) = 2.4 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s}^2$.

(d) The period of revolution is $(1200 \text{ rev/min})^{-1} = 8.3 \times 10^{-4}$ min which becomes, in SI units, T = 0.050 s = 50 ms.

Chapter 5

2. We apply Newton's second law (Eq. 5-1 or, equivalently, Eq. 5-2). The net force applied on the chopping block is $\vec{F}_{net} = \vec{F}_1 + \vec{F}_2$, where the vector addition is done using unit-vector notation. The acceleration of the block is given by $\vec{a} = (\vec{F}_1 + \vec{F}_2) / m$.

(a) In the first case

$$\vec{F}_1 + \vec{F}_2 = \left[(3.0N)\hat{i} + (4.0N)\hat{j} \right] + \left[(-3.0N)\hat{i} + (-4.0N)\hat{j} \right] = 0$$

so $\vec{a} = 0$.

(b) In the second case, the acceleration \vec{a} equals

$$\frac{\vec{F}_1 + \vec{F}_2}{m} = \frac{\left((3.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{i}} + (4.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{j}}\right) + \left((-3.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{i}} + (4.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{j}}\right)}{2.0\,\mathrm{kg}} = (4.0\,\mathrm{m/s^2})\,\hat{\mathrm{j}}.$$

(c) In this final situation, \vec{a} is

$$\frac{\vec{F}_1 + \vec{F}_2}{m} = \frac{\left((3.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{i}} + (4.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{j}} \right) + \left((3.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{i}} + (-4.0\,\mathrm{N})\,\hat{\mathrm{j}} \right)}{2.0\,\mathrm{kg}} = (3.0\,\mathrm{m/s}^2)\,\hat{\mathrm{i}}.$$

69. (a) We quote our answers to many figures – probably more than are truly "significant." Here (7682 L)((1.77 kg/L)) = 13597 kg. The quotation marks around the 1.77 are due to the fact that this was believed (by the flight crew) to be a legitimate conversion factor (it is not).

(b) The amount they felt should be added was 22300 kg – 13597 kg = 87083 kg, which they believed to be equivalent to (87083 kg)/((1.77 kg/L)) = 4917 L.

(c) Rounding to 4 figures as instructed, the conversion factor is $1.77 \text{ lb/L} \rightarrow 0.8034 \text{ kg/L}$, so the amount on board was (7682 L)(0.8034 kg/L) = 6172 kg.

(d) The implication is that what as needed was 22300 kg - 6172 kg = 16128 kg, so the request should have been for (16128 kg)/(0.8034 kg/L) = 20075 L.

(e) The percentage of the required fuel was

$$\frac{7682 \text{ L (on board)} + 4917 \text{ L (added)}}{(22300 \text{ kg required}) / (0.8034 \text{ kg/L})} = 45\%.$$