## Where Are the PRIORITIES?

# The Annual Report ON THE Economic Status of the Profession， 2007－08 

 or many years now，colleges and universities have attempted to balance competing demands from stu－ dents，legislators，and society at large．Students are enrolling in record numbers，legislators and em－ ployers are demanding greater skill levels from graduates，and higher education is increasingly being called on to do the work of economic development；at the same time，the share of institutional funding provided by state and federal governments continues to decline．Given these competing pressures on institu－ tions，financial decision making has become a matter of deter－ mining priorities．In this year＇s report，we call into question the apparent priorities demonstrated by trends in relative spending on salaries for faculty，football coaches，and senior administrators and by the shifts in staffing that have reshaped colleges and universi－ ties so dramatically over recent decades．

## The Year in Faculty Salaries

For nearly five decades，the AAUP has compiled comprehensive data on full－time faculty salaries from colleges and universities across the country．We begin this year＇s report with an overview of the results of that survey；detailed aggregate data are presented in the survey report tables immediately following this report，and institution－specific figures are in two comprehensive appendices．

Table A puts this year＇s findings in long－term perspective．The change in average salary levels between 2006－07 and 2007－08 is similar to the change between 2005－06 and 2006－07．But what is very different is the overall economic context，reflected in the change in＂real terms＂displayed on the right－hand side of the table．The rate of inflation between December 2006 and December 2007 was 4.1 percent，the highest level since the end of the 1980 s． The increase in overall average faculty salaries thus lagged behind inflation for the third time in the last four years．

As we reported last year，it appears that a number of academic institutions，particularly those in the public sector，are increasing full－time faculty salaries to make up for several years of depressed pay rates．As a result，the increases in average salary levels shown in table A are relatively high when compared to previous years． But with inflation rising faster than expected at the end of 2007， faculty salaries once again represent stagnant purchasing power． The picture varies，however，among different categories of institu－ tions，as reflected in survey report tables 1 through 3．（Those tables report changes in salary from the previous year；actual salary amounts for 2007－08 are presented in survey report tables 4 through 9．）

Survey report table 1 presents two different aspects of the increase in full－time faculty salary for 2007－08 and gives full detail on each broken out by academic rank and institutional category．The left－hand side of the table shows the percentage change in average salary levels for those colleges and universities that submitted data in both years．This figure includes both newly hired faculty and those who remained in their positions from the previous year． The right－hand side of the table summarizes data specifically for ＂continuing faculty，＂defined in the AAUP survey as those faculty members who were employed full time at the same institution for both years．The＂continuing－faculty increase＂is the figure that best approximates the raise that an average faculty member might have seen for this academic year，although it does include the effect of promotions in rank along with other salary increases．

## Public－Private Differentials

A continuing concern of this report has been the widening differen－ tial between faculty salaries in the public and private sectors of higher education．Although independent private colleges and universities－those not affiliated with a religious denomination－

TABLE A
Percentage Increases in Average Nominal and Real Salaries for Institutions Reporting Comparable Data for Adjacent One－Year Periods，and Percentage Change in the Consumer Price Index， 1971－72 through 2007－08

|  | Prof． | Assoc． | Asst． | Inst． | All Ranks | Prof． | Assoc． | Asst． | Inst． | All Ranks | Change in CPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOMINAL TERMS |  |  |  |  | REAL TERMS |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL FACULTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971－72 to 1973－74 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 9.4 | －2．7 | －2．8 | －3．3 | －3．6 | －3．0 | 12.4 |
| 1973－74 to 1975－76 | 12.4 | 12.1 | 11.7 | 12.3 | 12.1 | －7．7 | －8．0 | －8．4 | －7．8 | －8．0 | 20.1 |
| 1975－76 to 1977－78 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.2 | －1．8 | －1．5 | －1．6 | －1．5 | －1．7 | 11.9 |
| 1977－78 to 1979－80 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 13.3 | －10．0 | －10．3 | －10．4 | －10．7 | －10．2 | 23.5 |
| 1979－80 to 1981－82 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 18.5 | －3．9 | －4．4 | －3．8 | －5．0 | －4．0 | 22.5 |
| 1981－82 to 1983－84 | 11.2 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 7.7 |
| 1983－84 to 1985－86 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 7.9 |
| 1985－86 to 1986－87 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 1.1 |
| 1986－87 to 1987－88 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | －0．6 | 0.5 | 4.4 |
| 1987－88 to 1988－89 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 4.4 |
| 1988－89 to 1989－90 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 4.6 |
| 1989－90 to 1990－91 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.4 | －0．6 | －0．8 | －0．6 | －1．1 | －0．7 | 6.1 |
| 1990－91 to 1991－92 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 3.1 |
| 1991－92 to 1992－93 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | －0．3 | －0．6 | －0．3 | －0．6 | －0．4 | 2.9 |
| 1992－93 to 1993－94 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 2.7 |
| 1993－94 to 1994－95 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.7 |
| 1994－95 to 1995－96 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.5 |
| 1995－96 to 1996－97 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | －0．4 | －0．3 | －0．9 | －0．1 | －0．3 | 3.3 |
| 1996－97 to 1997－98 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 1997－98 to 1998－99 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| 1998－99 to 1999－00 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| 1999－00 to 2000－01 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.4 |
| 2000－01 to 2001－02 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| 2001－02 to 2002－03 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.4 | －0．2 | 0.6 | 2.4 |
| 2002－03 to 2003－04 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.9 |
| 2003－04 to 2004－05 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 0.1 | －0．3 | －0．1 | －0．6 | －0．5 | 3.3 |
| 2004－05 to 2005－06 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 0.3 | －0．1 | －0．1 | －0．2 | －0．3 | 3.4 |
| 2005－06 to 2006－07 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| 2006－07 to 2007－08 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | －0．2 | －0．3 | 4.1 |
| CONTINUING FACULTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971－72 to 1973－74 | 10.4 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 13.7 | 11.9 | －2．0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | －0．5 | 12.4 |
| 1973－74 to 1975－76 | 14.3 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 17.9 | 15.6 | －5．8 | －4．4 | －3．6 | －2．2 | －4．5 | 20.1 |
| 1975－76 to 1977－78 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 11.9 |
| 1977－78 to 1979－80 | 15.2 | 16.3 | 17.4 | 18.0 | 16.1 | －8．3 | －7．2 | －6．1 | －5．5 | －7．4 | 23.5 |
| 1979－80 to 1981－82 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 22.4 | 22.3 | 20.9 | －2．6 | －1．5 | －0．1 | －0．2 | －1．6 | 22.5 |
| 1981－82 to 1983－84 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 7.7 |
| 1983－84 to 1985－86 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 16.3 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 7.9 |
| 1985－86 to 1986－87 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 1.1 |
| 1986－87 to 1987－88 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 4.4 |
| 1987－88 to 1988－89 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 4.4 |
| 1988－89 to 1989－90 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 4.6 |
| 1989－90 to 1990－91 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 6.1 |
| 1990－91 to 1991－92 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 3.1 |
| 1991－92 to 1992－93 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 2.9 |
| 1992－93 to 1993－94 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.7 |
| 1993－94 to 1994－95 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| 1994－95 to 1995－96 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| 1995－96 to 1996－97 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.5 | －0．3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 3.3 |
| 1996－97 to 1997－98 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| 1997－98 to 1998－99 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 1.6 |
| 1998－99 to 1999－00 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.7 |
| 1999－00 to 2000－01 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
| 2000－01 to 2001－02 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| 2001－02 to 2002－03 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| 2002－03 to 2003－04 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| 2003－04 to 2004－05 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| 2004－05 to 2005－06 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.4 |
| 2005－06 to 2006－07 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 2006－07 to 2007－08 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 4.1 |

Note：Consumer Price Index（CPI）obtained from the U．S．Bureau of Labor Statistics．The change in the CPI for all Urban Consumers， the percentage change that this table reports，is calculated from December to December．Salary increases for the years to 1985－86 are grouped in two－year intervals in order to present the full 1971－72 through current year series．Nominal salary is measured in current dol－ lars．The percentage increase in real terms is the percentage increase in nominal terms adjusted for the percentage change in the CPI．Fig－ ures for All Faculty represent changes in salary levels from a given year to the next．Figures for Continuing Faculty represent the average salary change for faculty on staff at the same institution in both years over which the salary change is calculated．
have long paid higher salaries on average than institutions in the pub－ lic sector，the gap between the two sectors has been widening in recent years．This trend shows no sign of abating this year．According to AAUP data，a full professor at a public doc－ toral university in 1970－71 could have expected a salary equal to 91 percent of what a colleague at a comparable private university might earn．This year，the same average proportion has declined to only 76 percent，having dropped steadily over the decades．

Although some full professors are permanently settled in the commu－ nities where they live and work，oth－ ers can be persuaded to move to new institutions，and these senior faculty members are in demand to assume leadership roles in new or expanding academic and research programs． When public universities cannot com－ pete in terms of salary and other resources，private universities may be able to attract the best and most pro－ ductive scholars．In 2007，as in other recent years，a number of media out－ lets reported on the concerns ex－ pressed at public universities whose established faculties were perceived to be targeted in hiring＂raids．＂

Public－private differentials for full professors at other types of institutions are less dramatic but can lead to sim－ ilar results．Throughout the 1980s， average salaries at public master＇s and baccalaureate institutions were competitive with the private sector． However，the 2007－08 data show that public－private proportions for these two categories have declined to 90 percent and 85 percent，respectively．

Institutions also compete to attract new faculty－who are often more mobile than senior professors－so it is important to look at the public－ private differential with respect to assistant professors at an early stage
of their academic careers as well． Here，too，the public colleges and universities appear to be at an in－ creasing disadvantage．Since 1970－71， the average salary for assistant pro－ fessors at public doctoral universities has slipped from near parity（99 per－ cent of the private average）to only 83 percent．At public master＇s univer－ sities and baccalaureate colleges，the disadvantage is not as great．While public salaries have declined in com－ parison to those at private institu－ tions，the public average for assistant professors is still 98 percent of the private average at master＇s universi－ ties and 97 percent at baccalaureate colleges．

## Football Coach Salaries

Harley－Davidson Motor Company generates profits from the sale of branded T－shirts，jackets，gloves，hel－ mets，boots，vests，sunglasses，even Christmas tree ornaments．But if the company began investing more resources in the manufacture of accessories than in the manufacture of its classic motorcycles，sharehold－ ers would demand to know what the company＇s real priorities were． Ostensibly，the first priority of the universities with Division I－A foot－ ball programs is higher education．${ }^{1}$ A review of the growing financial resources these universities sink into their football programs might，how－ ever，lead one to question the real priorities of the institutions．

USA Today sought to acquire the contracts of the 120 head football coaches leading Division I－A teams during the 2007－08 academic year． Table B compares the newspaper＇s data on coaches＇pay with faculty salary data collected by the AAUP．${ }^{2}$

The base salaries and other income of fifty of the head coaches are at $\$ 1$ million or higher．While ＂other income＂includes payments
for apparel contracts，public appear－ ances，football camps，and items that may be paid by other sources， universities typically guarantee most of this income．The real number of millionaire coaches climbs substan－ tially higher if one includes bonus payments for securing berths in bowl games or graduating certain percentages of the team＇s players and other perks such as vehicles， country club memberships，and free tickets for varsity sports events．

Table B presents two years of aver－ age salaries for head football coaches， average salaries of full professors，and the ratio of the two for the eleven Division I－A football conferences．In 2007－08，the average salary of the coaches is $\$ 1,040,863$ ，a 12.4 percent increase over the $\$ 925,683$ average paid in 2006－07．By contrast，the average salary of full professors at these universities in 2007－08 is $\$ 104,523,3.5$ percent more than the $\$ 100,998$ paid in 2006－07．In 2006－07，the average head football coach earned 9.2 times the average full professor＇s salary；that ratio in－ creased to 10 this year．What does this say about the priorities of Division I－A universities？

Although head football coaches， on average，earn more than twice the salary of full professors in every conference，the national averages do mask substantial differences between conferences．In the Mid－American Conference，coaches this year are earning 2.4 times the average salary of full professors．This ratio increased from last year because the average salary of full professors increased by only 2.3 percent，while the average salary of head coaches increased by 14.8 percent．By con－ trast，this year head coaches in the Southeastern Conference are earn－ ing 18.6 times the salary of the full professors who carry out the primary
functions of their institutions，teach－ ing and research．Full－professor average salaries are up 5.5 percent from last year but are dwarfed by the 36.4 percent increase in average head coach salaries．As we reported last year，new University of Alabama coach Nick Saban made headlines by securing a $\$ 3.5$ million salary when he returned to the college ranks from the National Football League．But four of his conference colleagues also garnered salaries of more than $\$ 2$ million this year．

One argument for paying high salaries to head football coaches in Division I－A is that the programs generate profits that can be shared with other university departments，in－ cluding academic programs．Regard－ ing football in particular，National Collegiate Athletic Association（NCAA） data for 2002－03 indicated that 68
percent of Division I－A programs re－ ported profits， 28 percent reported budget deficits，and 4 percent reported breaking even．While football on average helps to subsidize other sports at Division I－A universities，athletic programs as a whole ran budget deficits．The average athletics deficit of $\$ 600,000$ is a small amount when compared to a university operating budget in the hundreds of millions of dollars，but even so，NCAA data do not support the promise of football as a source of revenue for university academic programs．${ }^{3}$ Instead，it appears that any net revenues that may be raised by even the most suc－ cessful football programs go to sub－ sidize other athletic programs．

When asked by USA Today about the enormous salaries commanded by head football coaches，Louisiana State University athletic director Skip

Bertman said，＂I go back to profes－ sional baseball and Alex Rodriguez making $\$ 25$ million a year．Or to Julia Roberts and \＄20 million for one movie．Are those people worth it？Of course not．But if that＇s what the mar－ ketplace is and enough people are willing to watch Alex play or Julia Roberts in a movie，they have a right to get that．I don＇t think this is any different．＂

While analogies can be enormously useful learning devices，they don＇t work if they aren＇t accurate．Alex Rodriguez is paid $\$ 25$ million by a professional baseball team that is a corporation whose function is to pro－ duce a winning team for profit． When Julia Roberts is paid $\$ 20$ mil－ lion to make a movie，she is being employed by a media company whose function is to produce entertaining films for profit．By contrast，most of

Table B
Average Salary for Division I－A Football Head Coaches and Full Professors， by Conference，2006－07 and 2007－08

| Conference | Average Head Football Coach Salary |  |  |  |  | Average Full－Professor Salary |  |  |  |  | Ratio，Avg．Coach to Avg．Professor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | Change <br> （\％） | 2006－07 |  | 2007－08 |  | Change <br> （\％） |  |  |
|  | Mean | N | Mean | N |  | Mean | N | Mean | N |  | 2006－07 | 2007－08 |
| Atlantic Coast Conference | 1，215，154 | 12 | 1，363，450 | 10 | 12.2 | 118，573 | 12 | 125，044 | 12 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 10.9 |
| Big East Conference | 979，706 | 7 | 1，184，851 | 8 | 20.9 | 106，168 | 8 | 110，263 | 8 | 3.9 | 9.2 | 10.7 |
| Big Ten Conference | 1，431，583 | 9 | 1，504，176 | 9 | 5.1 | 113，929 | 9 | 118，851 | 9 | 4.3 | 12.6 | 12.7 |
| Big Twelve Conference | 1，577，261 | 12 | 1，631，022 | 12 | 3.4 | 100，936 | 12 | 105，961 | 12 | 5.0 | 15.6 | 15.4 |
| Conference USA | 552，422 | 10 | 649，552 | 9 | 17.6 | 96，486 | 10 | 100，074 | 10 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 6.5 |
| Mid－American Conference | 197，319 | 12 | 226，475 | 12 | 14.8 | 91，700 | 10 | 93，783 | 12 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Pacific－Ten Conference | 1，236，604 | 9 | 1，311，968 | 9 | 6.1 | 110，331 | 9 | 109，654 | 7 | $-0.6{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11.2 | 12.0 |
| Southeastern Conference | 1，423，565 | 11 | 1，941，612 | 11 | 36.4 | 98，788 | 11 | 104，229 | 10 | 5.5 | 14.4 | 18.6 |
| Sun Belt Conference | 237，166 | 8 | 255，069 | 9 | 7.5 | 85，065 | 7 | 87，983 | 8 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Western Athletic Conference | 470，748 | 9 | 546，508 | 9 | 16.1 | 84，629 | 9 | 87，596 | 9 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 6.2 |
| Mountain West Conference | 622，776 | 8 | 645，632 | 8 | 3.7 | 96，627 | 7 | 102，627 | 7 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| Overall Average | 925，683 | 107 | 1，040，863 | 106 | 12.4 | 100，998 | 104 | 104，523 | 104 | 3.5 | 9.2 | 10.0 |

Notes：Coach salary includes base salary and other income，most of which is guaranteed．It does not include performance－based bonuses．Full－professor salary is for full－time instructional faculty，excluding administrators and medical school faculty；base salary adjusted to nine－month basis．Conference figures do not include Pennsylania State Univer－ sity or independent universities，where data are incomplete．
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Faculty salary figures for 2007－08 do not include the University of California，Berkeley，or the University of California，Los Angeles．
the universities in Division I－A are public and thus subsidized by tax－ payers．If the purpose of the institu－ tions were to produce football enter－ tainment for profit and serve as farm teams for the National Football League，then arguments about let－ ting market forces determine college coaches＇salaries would make sense． Otherwise，they don＇t．

In reality，only a few of the college athletes on the field，or of the students in the stands，will find their future success in life determined by what they learned on Saturday afternoons at the game．What will count most in the decades after graduation is what they learned from their professors in the classroom．And it is thus the aca－ demic program and the faculty in which taxpayers and alumni and other donors should be investing．

## Administrator Salaries

In a November 2007 interview with the Chronicle of Higher Education， Stephen J．Trachtenberg，recently retired after nineteen years as presi－ dent of George Washington
University，said，
I have always thought it was a terrible mistake on the part of the AAUP and other faculty groups to deride the compen－ sation of university presidents， because it＇s not an issue of what you pay presidents．It＇s an issue of what you pay peo－ ple in the academy．If the pres－ idents are paid well，it follows， or it should follow，that the professor will be celebrated and honored and also fairly compensated．Paying your president reasonably is a good investment on the part of the faculty．
The AAUP and Trachtenberg are not in complete disagreement．The AAUP doesn＇t deride presidents for
their compensation packages．On the contrary，we believe that the point of salary analyses is not to pit one group in the academy against another．But parsing Trachtenberg＇s statement yields important ques－ tions：Are the terms＂paid well＂and ＂fairly compensated＂synonymous？ Is there a direct causal relationship between presidential pay and faculty pay，and if so，how strong is it？Does it strengthen the academy to increase the compensation of certain groups of employees while using growing numbers of contingent faculty，post－ doctoral fellows，and graduate stu－ dents to depress the compensation of another group of employees？

George Washington University did make a substantial investment in Trachtenberg．In the most recent year for which we have data（2005－06）， he was one of the eighty－one presi－ dents from private institutions who earned more than half a million dollars in total compensation，with a pay and benefits package worth \＄706，133．${ }^{4}$
The AAUP believes that the argu－ ment for paying faculty well is at least as strong as the argument for paying presidents well．The faculty carry out the core missions of the institution，teaching and creating knowledge．This fact does not dimin－ ish the importance of the many nonfaculty employees who keep the wheels turning at their institutions， but it does suggest that deploying resources to recruit and retain the best faculty is the most important investment a college or university can make．

One might wonder how it follows that if presidents are paid well，fac－ ulty will also be paid well． Trachtenberg＇s statement asserts cor－ relation but doesn＇t explain the underlying causal mechanism． Ultimately，whether a well－paid uni－
versity president will result in well－ paid（or fairly paid）faculty is an empirical question．Last year＇s report cast doubt on assertions of correla－ tion between presidential and faculty salaries with a chart indicating that between 1995－96 and 2005－06 presidential salary increases were more than six times greater than faculty salary increases．Figures 1 and 2 ，based on more recent data collected as part of the annual AAUP survey，indicate that the gap in salaries between faculty and other top administrators is also widening．

Figure 1 shows the two－year change in average salary for each senior administrative position at private－ independent and church－related col－ leges and universities that submitted data to the AAUP．At these institutions， the average increase in presidential salary substantially exceeded both the inflation rate and the average salary increases earned by full professors． For each institutional type，the per－ centage change in faculty salaries over the two－year period is approxi－ mately half of the percentage change in respective presidential salaries． Salary growth rates for other chief administrators have also exceeded the rate of inflation，and in all but one instance－the chief financial officers at private doctoral universities－have exceeded the growth rate in full－ professor salaries．Since average salaries for these top administrative positions are typically twice those of even senior professors，the fact that they are also growing more rapidly indicates that salaries for adminis－ trators apparently have a higher pri－ ority than those for faculty．

At the public colleges and univer－ sities depicted in figure 2，the differ－ ences in the rate of recent salary increases are somewhat smaller．As suggested in the first section of this report，public institutions appear to

FIGURE 1
Two－Year Change in Average Salaries for Administrators and Faculty at Private Institutions， by Institutional Category，2005－06 to 2007－08


Note：For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.
have increased faculty salaries in the last two years in an effort to make up for smaller increases in previous years．That effort，coupled with somewhat smaller increases for top administrators than in the private sector，has resulted in the less rapidly widening gap depicted in the figure． Nonetheless，the basic conclusion is the same：a positive relationship between presidential and faculty salaries does not appear in the data for either public or private institu－ tions．There does seem to be a strong positive relationship between higher pay for presidents and higher pay for other top administrators across insti－ tutional categories and across the public－private divide，however．

As Trachtenberg pointed out in his Chronicle interview，＂college presidents are paid more than pro－ fessors of French．＂But，as he also noted，the large and growing differ－ ences in compensation for senior administrators relative to their fac－ ulties have moral and ethical impli－ cations．When market forces are widely offered as a reason why presi－ dents，administrative vice presidents，
and football coaches must be paid enormous salaries－while at the same time market forces are blamed for the continuing suppression of contingent faculty wages，the growing use of graduate students in under－ graduate teaching，and the increasing length of postdoctoral fellowships－ we would be remiss if we did not ask hard questions about priorities．

## Specialization

A college or university budget is a blueprint indicating where the institution＇s priorities lie．Because higher education is a labor－ intensive venture，the allocation of staff across different departments within a college or university has significant impact on how the insti－ tution operates．

The AAUP has long championed academic freedom and tenure because these conditions are neces－ sary to ensure that faculty can con－ sider a wide range of viewpoints in their teaching and research and are not restricted to whatever perspective happens to be popular or profitable at the moment．

Faculty participation in academ－ ic governance is an essential check and balance at a time when U．S． colleges and universities are embracing the operating strategies of for－profit corporations with grow－ ing fervor．Students are viewed as ＂customers＂and faculty are com－ ing under pressure to alter curricu－ la to provide the courses that the customers want，regardless of the value of those courses in contribut－ ing to the goals of a postsecondary education．Colleges and universities increasingly conceptualize higher education as a commodity and attempt to provide it at the lowest cost．They do so by reorganizing themselves as＂knowledge factories＂ in which a variety of internal func－ tions（for example，dining services and facilities maintenance）are outsourced to for－profit contractors who pay their workers minimum wages and in which the central teaching and research functions are outsourced to legions of poorly paid non－tenure－track adjunct faculty， postdoctoral fellows，and graduate students．

While faculty governance is nec－ essary to ensure that the operating decisions of colleges and universities are pedagogically sound，arguably the extent of governance that faculty exercise over their institutions is on the decline and has been for decades． Former AAUP general secretary Mary Burgan comments on this troubling phenomenon in her recent book， Whatever Happened to the Faculty？

For most of the history of U．S． higher education，faculty members performed the key administrative functions．The college president， dean of faculty，dean of students， and director of admissions were pro－ fessors who simultaneously wore fac－ ulty and administrative hats．The bird＇s－eye view of the institution＇s different functions that faculty－ administrators had gave them an
advantage in understanding the pedagogical consequences of admin－ istrative decisions，and their institu－ tions benefited from their broad base of knowledge．In the post－World War II years，however，college and university enrollments grew dramat－ ically，and specialization increasingly characterized professional adminis－ trative staff positions．This movement away from generalists and toward specialists has accelerated during the past twenty years，creating a dis－ connect between administrations and academic programs．As a result， administrators sometimes do not appreciate the effects their decisions will have across other parts of the institution．
Today，positions that previously would have been held by faculty members（such as dean of students
or dean of freshmen）are held by student affairs professionals．And hundreds of new positions have been created under the supervision of vice presidents for academic affairs， admissions，business affairs，devel－ opment，and student affairs．For example，the February 1，2008， ＂Careers＂section of the Cbronicle of Higher Education lists advertise－ ments for vice chancellor of student success；study abroad director；asso－ ciate director for experiential learn－ ing；director of financial aid；director of counseling services；assistant direc－ tor of admissions，communications， and special events；chief information officer；assistant vice president for marketing and public relations；and many other specialized administra－ tive positions．Under the umbrella of athletics alone，the College and

FIGURE 2
Two－Year Change in Average Salaries for Administrators and Faculty at Public Institutions， by Institutional Category，2005－06 to 2007－08


Note：For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.

University Professional Association for Human Resources（CUPA－HR） 2006－07 Administrative
Compensation Survey lists associ－ ate and assistant director jobs in finance and business，operations， external affairs，development，aca－ demic affairs，and compliance．

Some of the increasing demand for specialization among higher education administrators represents increased reporting requirements related to crime on campus，envi－ ronmental safety standards，learning outcomes，accreditation，and nondiscrimination in employment． Another factor driving the move－ ment toward specialization is the increasing importance of technology for research，teaching，and manag－ ing overall university operations． The CUPA－HR survey report on the
salaries of mid－level managers includes the positions of Webmaster， television station manager，systems programmer，database administra－ tion manager，information systems security analyst，and e－mail admin－ istrator．${ }^{5}$ To the degree that the movement toward specialization in higher education administration represents a redistribution of work formerly performed by faculty，it also represents a diminished role for fac－ ulty in shared governance of the institution．

## Shifts in Staffing

Data collected through the U．S． Department of Education＇s Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System provide a detailed picture of changes in staffing priorities between 1976 and 2005，a period that saw student
enrollment increase by 60 percent． The total growth in higher education personnel during this period was slightly larger than the growth in en－ rollment，at 84 percent．But as figure 3 illustrates，the aggregate growth in higher education employment dis－ guises enormous differences in growth rates across different cate－ gories of the higher education work－ force．Full－time，nonfaculty profes－ sional staff grew at the highest rate－281 percent between 1976 and 2005．This category includes many of the newly created positions in higher education referred to above． Although the ranks of full－time administrators in higher education grew less rapidly，their numbers dou－ bled between 1976 and 2005.

The one exception to the tremen－ dous growth rates in nonfaculty

FIGURE 3
Increase in the Number of Employees in Higher Education Institutions，by Category of Employee，1976－2005


Category of Employee

Source：U．S．Department of Education，Integrated Postsecondary Data System（IPEDS），Full Staff Survey．Compiled from various sources by AAUP Research Office．
positions is the 20 percent growth in the number of full－time nonprofes－ sional staff．This disproportionately small growth rate，well below the rate of enrollment growth，likely reflects an increased outsourcing of work in areas such as food services and maintenance of the grounds and physical plant．

Surprisingly，and unfortunately，the second and third largest growth rates in higher education personnel are in the categories of full－and part－time non－tenure－track faculty－both of which increased by over 200 percent． These two categories comprise the contingent faculty．Contingent faculty are ostensibly hired to provide uni－ versities with a flexible labor pool that can be expanded or reduced when enrollments in particular pro－ grams fluctuate，but the enormous growth in contingent faculty relative to full－time tenured or tenure－track faculty and relative to the growth in student enrollments is far greater than might be justified by an argu－ ment for flexibility．Other factors are driving this trend．

Increasingly，it appears that pref－ erences for hiring contingent faculty stem from the fact that colleges and universities can hire them to teach many of the same courses that tenure－track faculty teach－at sub－ stantially lower pay rates．For exam－ ple，based on the rates of pay for part－time faculty calculated in the 2005－06 edition of this annual report，and assuming a standard teaching load，a typical master＇s degree university could have hired eight part－time faculty（each teach－ ing three courses a year）for approx－ imately the same pay that one full－ time assistant professor would earn． Although hiring eight part－time faculty members to teach specific classes would be less expensive，in the process the university would lose
the capacity for advancing knowl－ edge and contributing to the long－ term development of curriculum that full－time tenure－track faculty bring．Because most part－time facul－ ty do not have sufficient institutional support，they are less able and less likely to engage in research and perform administrative tasks neces－ sary to keep academic departments functioning．

Both categories of contingent fac－ ulty also lack job security．Their appointments typically are renew－ able on a semester－to－semester or annual basis．Appointments can be allowed to expire at the end of the semester for any（nondiscriminatory） reason，or for no reason at all．Oppor－ tunities for appeal in cases of nonre－ newal often do not exist．Because faculty members hired into these tenuous positions can be reluctant to explore controversial topics in their teaching or research，the increased use of contingent faculty in higher education represents a real threat to academic freedom．

Contingent faculty also generally do not have opportunity to partici－ pate fully in the activities of shared governance．Part－time faculty members may hold two or more positions at different colleges and universities and teach five or more courses a semester．Time for schol－ arship is rare and＂free＂time for the work of shared governance is rarer still．Likewise，without the protections of academic freedom and tenure，contingent faculty have substantially more to lose when they criticize the means their insti－ tutions use to carry out their educa－ tional missions．In this sense，the more than 200 percent increase in the number of contingent faculty on the payrolls represents a depro－ fessionalization of the faculty role in higher education．

In sharp contrast to the dramatic growth in employment of contingent faculty members and full－time non－ faculty professionals，the number of full－time tenured and tenure－track faculty grew by only 17 percent over the last three decades．And data from the National Study of Postsecondary Faculty on hours worked by full－time faculty show that the average work－ week actually lengthened slightly， from 52.7 to 53.4 hours，between 1987 and 2003．The explosive growth in the number of part－time faculty members obviously has not reduced the workload for full－time faculty．

Adding new services that enhance the educational experience the insti－ tution provides can be desirable．But if these functions are not performed by faculty whose academic freedom is guaranteed by tenure，are these additional services integrated into the teaching and research mission？ Or do they reflect an increasingly cor－ porate college and university enter－ prise giving priority to＂consumer satisfaction＂over real education？

If those of us who are in a posi－ tion to do so are to use our tenured positions to reassert the role of fac－ ulty in shared governance，we must ask ourselves whether we really do want change－or are we content to cede the tasks of administration to specialists at the cost of losing our role in shared governance？As Mary Burgan has argued in Whatever Happened to the Faculty？tenured faculty members may have been complicit in weakening the role of faculty in shared governance by choosing to spend more time doing what we enjoy（teaching and research）and less time doing what we find onerous（administration）．If this is the case，we must reexamine our own priorities at the same time that we ask our institutions to change theirs．

## Conclusion

The point of raising questions about priorities is not to denigrate the work of certain individuals or groups or to pit them against one another． Salaries and staffing are matters of institutional priorities，and the ques－ tions we are asking are about how those priorities are determined．Are changes in employment patterns the result of collaborative decision mak－ ing involving faculty，staff，adminis－ trators，and governing boards？Why is＂the market＂employed as a rationale for skyrocketing salaries for some individuals，when the same ＂market factors＂supposedly dictate extreme measures to reduce the cost of employing faculty？What do spending decisions－a very concrete demonstration of priorities－say about support for the core higher education missions of teaching， research，and service to the commu－ nity？We have suggested some answers in this report and encour－ age all with an interest in higher education to follow up with ques－ tions about the priorities of their own institutions．
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## Notes

1．In 2007，the NCAA began to refer to this division as the＂Football Bowl Subdivision．＂Because the former designation is more familiar，however， this report continues to refer to the division as＂Division I－A．＂

2．Steve Wieberg and Jodi Upton，
＂The Money Game，＂USA Today，
December 5，2007．USA Today was not able to obtain contracts for all 120 coaches．Additionally，given questions regarding the accuracy of the reported compensation for Pennsylvania State University coach Joe Paterno，Penn State is excluded from this data analysis．Universities not belonging to an athletic confer－ ence are also excluded．
3．National Collegiate Athletic Association，2002－03 NCAA Revenues and Expenses of Divisions I and II Intercollegiate Athletics Programs（Indianapolis： National Collegiate Athletic Association，2005），www．ncaa．org／ library／research／i＿ii＿rev＿exp／2003／ 2002－03＿d1＿d2＿rev＿exp．pdf．
4．Compensation of Presidents of Private Institutions（Chronicle of Higher Education database）， http：／／chronicle．com／stats／990．Also see＂Presidential Pay Is Increasing Fastest at the Largest Institutions，＂ Cbronicle of Higher Education， November 16，2007，http：／／chronicle． com／weekly／v54／i12／12b00301．htm．
5．Audrey Williams June，＂Median Salaries of Midlevel Administrative Workers by Job Category and Type of Institution，2005－6，＂Chronicle of Higher Education，March 17，2006， http：／／chronicle．com／weekly／v52／i28／ 28a04001．htm．

Percentage Change in Salary Levels and Percentage Increases in Salary for Continuing Faculty，by Category，Affiliation，and Academic Rank，2006－07 to 2007－08

| Academic Rank | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SALARY LEVELS |  |  |  | CONTINUING FACULTY |  |  |  |
| CATEGORY I（Doctoral） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 4.6 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.2 |
| Associate | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Assistant | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Instructor | 4.2 | 3.7 | 8.5 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| All Combined | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 |
| CATEGORY IIA（Master＇s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.0 |
| Associate | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 4.7 |
| Assistant | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 5.1 |
| Instructor | 2.9 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 5.4 |
| All Combined | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 4.6 |
| CATEGORY IIB（Baccalaureate） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 4.6 |
| Associate | 3.8 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| Assistant | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Instructor | 5.6 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 5.2 |
| All Combined | 3.8 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.0 |
| CATEGORY III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 2.8 | 2.8 | n．d． | n．d． | 5.2 | 5.1 | 8.4 | n．d． |
| Associate | 3.6 | 3.5 | n．d． | n．d． | 5.3 | 5.3 | 8.6 | n．d． |
| Assistant | 3.6 | 3.6 | n．d． | n．d． | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | n．d． |
| Instructor | 3.9 | 4.0 | n．d． | n．d． | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.5 | n．d． |
| All Combined | 3.2 | 3.2 | n．d． | n．d． | 5.4 | 5.4 | 7.7 | n．d． |
| CATEGORY IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Rank | 2.1 | 2.1 | n．d． | n．d． | 4.0 | 4.0 | n．d． | n．d． |
| ALL CATEGORIES COMBINED EXCEPT IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Associate | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 5.3 |
| Assistant | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.1 |
| Instructor | 3.9 | 3.6 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 5.4 |
| All Combined | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.0 |

[^0]Percent of Institutions and Percent of Faculty by Average Increase in Salary Levels，by Affiliation and Category，2006－07 to 2007－08

| Percentage Increase | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INSTITUTIONS |  |  |  | FACULTY MEMBERS |  |  |  |
| 6 and over | 15.1 | 17.4 | 14.5 | 10.4 | 14.4 | 15.5 | 13.9 | 9.1 |
| 5 to 5.99 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 12.3 | 7.3 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 12.4 | 8.3 |
| 4 to 4.99 | 15.9 | 13.3 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 17.9 | 16.2 | 21.6 | 21.0 |
| 3 to 3.99 | 17.3 | 15.7 | 19.8 | 18.0 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 21.3 |
| 2 to 2.99 | 16.9 | 14.2 | 18.9 | 20.8 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 16.2 | 21.5 |
| 1 to 1.99 | 10.2 | 11.3 | 6.0 | 12.1 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 3.6 | 11.5 |
| Between 0 and 0.99 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| No change | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Decrease | 8.8 | 11.0 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1 | IIA | IIB | III \＆IV | 1 | IIA | IIB | III \＆IV |
| 6 and over | 12.9 | 17.0 | 13.0 | 17.4 | 11.1 | 20.2 | 14.0 | 13.5 |
| 5 to 5.99 | 13.4 | 10.9 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 12.7 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 5.6 |
| 4 to 4.99 | 20.1 | 14.5 | 18.6 | 9.3 | 20.7 | 14.3 | 19.4 | 11.3 |
| 3 to 3.99 | 23.9 | 15.0 | 17.4 | 14.8 | 28.9 | 15.7 | 17.0 | 18.1 |
| 2 to 2.99 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 20.5 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 20.0 | 15.1 |
| 1 to 1.99 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 6.9 | 13.4 | 9.1 | 9.0 |
| Between 0 and 0.99 | 1.9 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 11.4 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 10.8 |
| No change | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Decrease | 4.3 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 17.8 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 16.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\overline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\overline{100.0}$ |

Note：The table is based on 1,268 institutions reporting comparable data both years．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35 ．

SURVEY REPORT TABLE 3
Percent of Institutions and Percent of Faculty by Average Increase in Salary for Continuing Faculty，by Affiliation and Category，2006－07 to 2007－08

| Percentage Increase | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INSTITUTIONS |  |  |  | FACULTY MEMBERS |  |  |  |
| 6 and over | 25.8 | 25.0 | 31.6 | 20.8 | 24.8 | 25.2 | 26.1 | 20.4 |
| 5 to 5.99 | 20.1 | 18.9 | 23.4 | 18.7 | 23.3 | 21.4 | 30.7 | 21.5 |
| 4 to 4.99 | 22.8 | 21.9 | 22.8 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 24.0 | 25.4 | 29.1 |
| 3 to 3.99 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 15.6 | 20.8 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 11.8 | 19.4 |
| 2 to 2.99 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 5.4 |
| 1 to 1.99 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 |
| Between 0 and 0.99 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| No change | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Decrease | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\overline{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 1 | IIA | IIB | III \＆IV | 1 | IIA | IIB | III \＆IV |
| 6 and over | 26.4 | 22.1 | 30.2 | 22.4 | 24.9 | 21.6 | 32.9 | 21.4 |
| 5 to 5.99 | 25.9 | 19.6 | 18.9 | 17.9 | 27.3 | 20.2 | 19.0 | 17.7 |
| 4 to 4.99 | 23.4 | 24.0 | 20.8 | 24.4 | 26.6 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 22.3 |
| 3 to 3.99 | 13.7 | 19.1 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 11.7 | 21.4 | 17.7 | 14.2 |
| 2 to 2.99 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 10.4 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 20.1 |
| 1 to 1.99 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 2.1 |
| Between 0 and 0.99 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| No change | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Decrease | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Total | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ | $\overline{100.0}$ |

[^1]SURVEY REPORT TABLE 4
Average Salary and Average Compensation Levels，by Category，Affiliation，and Academic Rank，2007－08（Dollars）

| Academic Rank | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related | All <br> Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SALARY |  |  |  | COMPENSATION |  |  |  |
| CATEGORY I（Doctoral） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 118，444 | 109，569 | 144，256 | 124，435 | 148，214 | 137，104 | 180，458 | 156，001 |
| Associate | 80，043 | 77，033 | 92，148 | 84，004 | 102，888 | 98，965 | 118，610 | 108，193 |
| Assistant | 68，112 | 65，416 | 78，840 | 71，061 | 87，440 | 84，204 | 100，810 | 89，523 |
| Instructor | 46，321 | 44，116 | 55，982 | 56，833 | 61，043 | 58，635 | 72，023 | 71，756 |
| Lecturer | 51，404 | 49，079 | 59，153 | 50，289 | 67，146 | 63，867 | 78，105 | 65，481 |
| No Rank | 59，845 | 52，751 | 68，663 | 62，007 | 77，469 | 68，327 | 89，218 | 79，018 |
| All Combined | 86，520 | 80，962 | 106，272 | 90，247 | 109，928 | 102，990 | 134，666 | 114，313 |
| CATEGORY IIA（Master＇s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 87，272 | 85，726 | 95，171 | 86，158 | 110，781 | 108，923 | 120，733 | 108，762 |
| Associate | 68，637 | 68，034 | 71，931 | 67，328 | 88，594 | 87，952 | 92，709 | 86，359 |
| Assistant | 57，549 | 57，540 | 58，930 | 55，845 | 74，451 | 74，884 | 75，340 | 71，023 |
| Instructor | 42，959 | 41，794 | 47，459 | 45，912 | 55，888 | 54，753 | 60，759 | 58，284 |
| Lecturer | 47，585 | 47，263 | 51，311 | 47，762 | 62，648 | 62，290 | 66，874 | 62，632 |
| No Rank | 52，232 | 49，556 | 58，362 | 53，231 | 67，101 | 64，165 | 73，773 | 68，322 |
| All Combined | 67，119 | 66，107 | 71，982 | 66，629 | 86，305 | 85，265 | 92，018 | 84，813 |
| CATEGORY IIB（Baccalaureate） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 83，560 | 80，408 | 94，333 | 72，445 | 107，528 | 102，362 | 121，572 | 93，672 |
| Associate | 64，277 | 65，431 | 69，562 | 58，293 | 83，296 | 84，257 | 90，339 | 75，676 |
| Assistant | 53，351 | 54，844 | 56，621 | 49，240 | 68，732 | 71，214 | 72，600 | 63，399 |
| Instructor | 43，609 | 44，349 | 45，441 | 41，668 | 55，911 | 57，705 | 57，294 | 53，322 |
| Lecturer | 49，479 | 47，699 | 56，832 | 41，877 | 64，005 | 61，791 | 74，937 | 51，885 |
| No Rank | 51，151 | 46，878 | 56，177 | 44，894 | 65，830 | 58，842 | 73，346 | 56，788 |
| All Combined | 64，498 | 62，447 | 72，104 | 57，901 | 83，233 | 80，387 | 93，124 | 74，834 |
| CATEGORY III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 71，779 | 71，910 | 59，969 | n．d． | 94，898 | 95，096 | 79，171 | n．d． |
| Associate | 58，492 | 58，708 | 49，144 | n．d． | 78，273 | 78，625 | 63，641 | n．d． |
| Assistant | 51，183 | 51，329 | 41，434 | n．d． | 69，214 | 69，450 | 55，055 | n．d． |
| Instructor | 44，132 | 44，174 | 40，015 | n．d． | 58，842 | 58，915 | 52，671 | n．d． |
| Lecturer | 48，338 | 48，338 | n．d． | n．d． | 66，551 | 66，551 | n．d． | n．d． |
| No Rank | 40，109 | 40，109 | n．d． | n．d． | 54，470 | 54，470 | n．d． | n．d． |
| All Combined | 57，642 | 57，772 | 48，571 | n．d． | 76，933 | 77，142 | 63，735 | n．d． |
| CATEGORY IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Rank | 55，302 | 55，316 | n．d． | n．d． | 69，683 | 69，698 | n．d． | n．d． |
| ALL CATEGORIES COMBINED EXCEPT IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 102，867 | 98，314 | 122，159 | 90，935 | 129，976 |  | 154,126 | 115，556 |
| Associate | 72，961 | 72，187 | 79，214 | 67，820 | 94，191 | 93，173 | 102，301 | 87，557 |
| Assistant | 61，103 | 60，802 | 65，826 | 55，733 | 78，918 | 78，893 | 84，310 | 71，137 |
| Instructor | 44，533 | 43，386 | 49，894 | 46，243 | 58，327 | 57，337 | 63，866 | 58，858 |
| Lecturer | 49，846 | 48，282 | 57，740 | 47，773 | 65，381 | 63，280 | 76，098 | 61，947 |
| No Rank | 56，245 | 50，945 | 64，348 | 56，660 | 72，625 | 65，955 | 83，106 | 72，324 |
| All Combined | 75，677 | 73，191 | 88，190 | 68，771 | 96，956 | 93，915 | 112，530 | 87，979 |

Note：The table is based on 1,386 （salary）and 1,374 （compensation）reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35 ．N．d．$=$ no data．There were too few church－related institutions in category III and too few private－independent and church－related institutions in category IV to generate valid separate statistics． These institutions are included in the All Combined column，however．

SURVEY REPORT TABLE 5
Average Salary for Men and Women Faculty，by Category，Affiliation，and Academic Rank，2007－08（Dollars）

| Academic | All <br> Combined | Public | Private－ <br> Independent | Church－ <br> Related | All <br> Combined | Public | Private－ <br> Independent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank |  |  |  |  | Church－ |  |  |
| Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note：The table is based on 1,386 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35．N．d．$=$ no data．There were too few church－ related institutions in category III and too few private－independent and church－related institutions in category IV to generate valid separate statistics．These institutions are included in the All Combined column，however．

Average Salary，by Region，Category，and Academic Rank，2007－08（Dollars）

| Academic Rank | NORTHEAST |  | NORTH CENTRAL |  | SOUTH |  |  | WEST |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { England } \end{gathered}$ | Middle Atlantic ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | East North Central ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | West North Central ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | East South Central ${ }^{e}$ | West South Central ${ }^{f}$ | South Atlantic ${ }^{9}$ | Mountain ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Pacific ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |
| CATEGORY I（Doctoral） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 137，342 | 133，464 | 113，397 | 109，323 | 106，669 | 109，479 | 118，060 | 103，090 | 123，131 |
| Associate | 88，330 | 89，162 | 76，817 | 75，223 | 76，269 | 76，043 | 80，669 | 75，502 | 81，559 |
| Assistant | 74，876 | 74，834 | 66，209 | 63，846 | 62，313 | 66，921 | 68，348 | 64，140 | 70，728 |
| Instructor | 56，102 | 52，603 | 44，328 | 44，863 | 41，841 | 43，032 | 48，039 | 45，643 | 43，760 |
| Lecturer | 60，067 | 55，965 | 47，415 | 47，840 | 42，093 | 49，051 | 48，705 | 51，730 | 58，374 |
| No Rank | 58，214 | 67，916 | 45，620 | 48，409 | 46，183 | 53，152 | 64，700 | 40，475 | 56，852 |
| All Combined | 101，977 | 97，588 | 83，412 | 81，161 | 77，318 | 78，992 | 85，968 | 78，167 | 90，791 |
| CATEGORY IIA（Master＇s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 95，205 | 96，216 | 81，200 | 77，231 | 77，888 | 81，297 | 84，844 | 80，775 | 93，193 |
| Associate | 73，567 | 74，627 | 64，590 | 62，546 | 62，799 | 64，803 | 67，183 | 63，666 | 73，437 |
| Assistant | 61，786 | 60，642 | 54，744 | 52，962 | 52，940 | 55，156 | 56，407 | 55，048 | 62，913 |
| Instructor | 50，366 | 47，430 | 41，570 | 40，862 | 40，218 | 41，871 | 43，397 | 36，331 | 48，794 |
| Lecturer | 55，244 | 51，351 | 40，768 | 40，070 | 39，511 | 40，700 | 44，456 | 47，610 | 56，522 |
| No Rank | 56，538 | 47，070 | 44，841 | 52，150 | 49，328 | 49，587 | 55，737 | 43，160 | 55，491 |
| All Combined | 75，507 | 73，545 | 62，069 | 61，385 | 59，611 | 61，355 | 64，704 | 60，506 | 74，723 |
| CATEGORY IIB（Baccalaureate） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 104，279 | 93，649 | 74，442 | 72，647 | 70，482 | 69，393 | 78，086 | 75，166 | 94，389 |
| Associate | 75，162 | 70，564 | 59，911 | 57，678 | 56，831 | 58，498 | 62，590 | 58，374 | 69，414 |
| Assistant | 59，636 | 57，485 | 49，874 | 49，339 | 48，073 | 49，261 | 52，396 | 51，001 | 59，392 |
| Instructor | 47，590 | 47，320 | 42，914 | 41，077 | 40，437 | 41，900 | 41，521 | 37，820 | 49，489 |
| Lecturer | 60，404 | 51，973 | 42，754 | 42，571 | 37，298 | 42，537 | 46，127 | 40，064 | 54，758 |
| No Rank | 57，169 | 49，694 | 37，156 | 44，862 | 37，919 | 40，718 | 58，529 | 38，388 | 46，752 |
| All Combined | 79，814 | 69，970 | 59，789 | 57，630 | 56，588 | 54，930 | 61，389 | 59，105 | 73，127 |
| CATEGORY III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 68，140 | 78，898 | 71，377 | 62，050 | 58，437 | 64，763 | 77，240 | 66，463 | 70，858 |
| Associate | 55，170 | 64，411 | 56，417 | 51，071 | 49，530 | 51，624 | 61，669 | 55，661 | 63，421 |
| Assistant | 47，848 | 56，116 | 46，934 | 44，748 | 41，704 | 46，416 | 53，501 | 49，468 | 58，399 |
| Instructor | 45，758 | 45，673 | 40，717 | 39，348 | 36，738 | 40，495 | 43，426 | 46，797 | 51，105 |
| Lecturer | 50，107 | 53，946 | 41，885 | 40，912 | n．d． | n．d． | 52，326 | 43，359 | n．d． |
| No Rank | n．d． | 37，780 | 37，587 | 42，483 | n．d． | n．d． | 42，640 | 50，037 | n．d． |
| All Combined | 59，078 | 62，365 | 52，783 | 51，046 | 44，741 | 54，099 | 60，552 | 55，211 | 60，910 |
| CATEGORY IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Rank | n．d． | n．d． | 58，453 | 57，561 | 50，879 | 51，763 | 47，295 | 67，318 | 61，665 |
| ALL CATEGORIES COMBINED EXCEPT IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 116，687 | 113，051 | 99，763 | 91，296 | 91，510 | 96，724 | 102，587 | 96，016 | 102，627 |
| Associate | 79，656 | 78，695 | 70，022 | 66，968 | 67，412 | 69，875 | 73，110 | 71，863 | 75，299 |
| Assistant | 66，212 | 64，182 | 59，108 | 56，466 | 55，999 | 60，202 | 61，002 | 60，803 | 64，531 |
| Instructor | 50，901 | 48，706 | 42，883 | 41，619 | 40，640 | 42，250 | 45，021 | 44，577 | 46，928 |
| Lecturer | 59，427 | 54，226 | 44，394 | 46，378 | 40，671 | 46，176 | 47，042 | 50，580 | 56，832 |
| No Rank | 57，479 | 64，891 | 44，555 | 47，630 | 47，629 | 50，725 | 60，217 | 42，117 | 55，968 |
| All Combined | 88，304 | 82，005 | 72，878 | 69，089 | 66，848 | 70，189 | 74，794 | 72，780 | 79，068 |

[^2]a．New England：Connecticut，Maine，Massachusetts，New Hampshire，Rhode Is－ land，and Vermont．
f．West South Central：Arkansas，Louisiana，Oklahoma，and Texas．
b．Middle Atlantic：New Jersey，New York，and Pennsylvania．
c．East North Central：Illinois，Indiana，Michigan，Ohio，and Wisconsin．
d．West North Central：Iowa，Kansas，Minnesota，Missouri，Nebraska，North Da－ kota，and South Dakota．
g．South Atlantic：Delaware，District of Columbia，Florida，Georgia，Maryland，
North Carolina，Puerto Rico，South Carolina，Virginia，and West Virginia．
h．Mountain：Arizona，Colorado，Idaho，Montana，Nevada，New Mexico，Utah，and Wyoming．
i．Pacific：Alaska，California，Guam，Hawaii，Oregon，and Washington．
e．East South Central：Alabama，Kentucky，Mississippi，and Tennessee．

Average Compensation，by Region，Category，and Academic Rank，2007－08（Dollars）

| Academic Rank | NORTHEAST |  | NORTH CENTRAL |  | SOUTH |  |  | WEST |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { England } \end{gathered}$ | Middle Atlantic ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | East North Central ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | West North Central ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | East South Central ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | West South Central ${ }^{f}$ | South Atlantic ${ }^{9}$ | Mountain ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | Pacific ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| CATEGORY I（Doctoral） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 171，605 | 168，244 | 143，268 | 136，438 | 134，544 | 134，226 | 145，971 | 128，473 | 156，666 |
| Associate | 113，290 | 115，799 | 100，198 | 96，111 | 98，256 | 95，121 | 102，464 | 95，788 | 108，389 |
| Assistant | 95，022 | 96，843 | 86，845 | 80，951 | 80，710 | 83，046 | 86，792 | 81，972 | 93，655 |
| Instructor | 72，500 | 68，142 | 59，790 | 57，305 | 55，694 | 54，965 | 62，578 | 59，587 | 63，060 |
| Lecturer | 77，314 | 72，853 | 63，493 | 62，957 | 55，288 | 61，986 | 63，598 | 68，033 | 81，073 |
| No Rank | 74，373 | 89，119 | 61，972 | 63，644 | 65，892 | 65，458 | 80，995 | 55，530 | 76，463 |
| All Combined | 128，723 | 124，793 | 107，506 | 102，524 | 99，038 | 97，900 | 108，013 | 98，863 | 118，440 |
| CATEGORY IIA（Master＇s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 123，072 | 121，887 | 105，512 | 98，127 | 99，102 | 99，935 | 106，798 | 105，064 | 117，745 |
| Associate | 96，576 | 97，025 | 85，076 | 80，460 | 80，020 | 80，425 | 85，348 | 84，628 | 94，923 |
| Assistant | 81，321 | 79，198 | 72，393 | 67，967 | 67，675 | 68，508 | 71，912 | 73，777 | 81，805 |
| Instructor | 64，805 | 61，569 | 55，136 | 53，644 | 52，340 | 53，285 | 55，954 | 48，590 | 64，912 |
| Lecturer | 73，583 | 68，983 | 56，125 | 51，343 | 51，693 | 51，533 | 56，844 | 63，495 | 73，174 |
| No Rank | 71，218 | 59，246 | 64，095 | 67，143 | 57，093 | 60，641 | 70，725 | 58，832 | 71，569 |
| All Combined | 98，445 | 94，947 | 81，765 | 78，671 | 76，113 | 76，323 | 82，122 | 80，202 | 95，818 |
| CATEGORY IIB（Baccalaureate） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 134，283 | 120，312 | 97，637 | 93，401 | 90，835 | 87，591 | 99，017 | 96，000 | 120，729 |
| Associate | 97，971 | 91，843 | 79，031 | 74，768 | 72，609 | 72，979 | 79，561 | 74，965 | 90，216 |
| Assistant | 77，324 | 74，407 | 65，236 | 63，275 | 60，831 | 61，518 | 66，580 | 65，569 | 77，567 |
| Instructor | 60，501 | 61，136 | 55，975 | 53，047 | 51，128 | 51，911 | 52，778 | 48，794 | 65，189 |
| Lecturer | 79，048 | 69，527 | 55，894 | 53，153 | 43，653 | 52，065 | 58，483 | 50，348 | 70，016 |
| No Rank | 72，814 | 61，910 | 46，267 | 56，938 | 48，197 | 50，477 | 76，803 | 49，707 | 64，441 |
| All Combined | 103，272 | 90，509 | 78，408 | 74，275 | 72，390 | 68，686 | 77，994 | 75，974 | 95，586 |
| CATEGORY III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） 780717 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 97，828 | 103，767 | 91，069 | 82，733 | 79，006 | 78，717 | 98，177 | 86，261 | 92，531 |
| Associate | 78，957 | 86，120 | 75，262 | 69，439 | 67，048 | 64，531 | 79，475 | 79，044 | 83，390 |
| Assistant | 67，785 | 76，300 | 63，162 | 61，253 | 58，201 | 58，832 | 69，435 | 70，875 | 77，063 |
| Instructor | 66，092 | 62，015 | 53，649 | 54，596 | 49，978 | 51，757 | 55，960 | 60，911 | 68，652 |
| Lecturer | 74，632 | 74，800 | 56，843 | 54，752 | n．d． | n．d． | 67，978 | 59，017 | n．d． |
| No Rank | n．d． | 55，856 | 49，769 | 58，242 | n．d． | n．d． | 52，805 | 74，102 | n．d． |
| All Combined | 84，624 | 83，629 | 69，960 | 69，164 | 60，962 | 67，062 | 77，789 | 73，371 | 80，388 |
| CATEGORY IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Rank | n．d． | n．d． | 72，744 | 73，662 | 67，742 | 63，704 | 59，097 | 84，721 | 78，646 |
| ALL CATEGORIES COMBINED EXCEPT IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 148，481 | 143，395 | 127，333 | 115，191 | 116，098 | 119，124 | 127，794 | 122，307 | 130，241 |
| Associate | 103，556 | 102，473 | 91，814 | 86，152 | 86，648 | 87，348 | 92，938 | 92，266 | 98，359 |
| Assistant | 85，642 | 83，674 | 77，817 | 72，202 | 72，111 | 75，013 | 77，653 | 78，889 | 84，513 |
| Instructor | 66，824 | 63，758 | 57，211 | 54，379 | 53，466 | 53，741 | 58，192 | 57，938 | 64，705 |
| Lecturer | 77，026 | 71，713 | 60，070 | 60，672 | 53，270 | 58，697 | 60，910 | 66，674 | 74，599 |
| No Rank | 73，030 | 84，718 | 61，230 | 61，939 | 57，931 | 62，415 | 76，165 | 57，585 | 73，481 |
| All Combined | 113，419 | 105，714 | 94，779 | 88，153 | 85，684 | 87，435 | 94，445 | 93，439 | 102，272 |

Note：The table is based on 1，374 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35．N．d．＝no data．
a．New England：Connecticut，Maine，Massachusetts，New Hampshire，Rhode Is－ land，and Vermont．
b．Middle Atlantic：New Jersey，New York，and Pennsylvania．
c．East North Central：Illinois，Indiana，Michigan，Ohio，and Wisconsin．
d．West North Central：Iowa，Kansas，Minnesota，Missouri，Nebraska，North Da－ kota，and South Dakota．
e．East South Central：Alabama，Kentucky，Mississippi，and Tennessee．
f．West South Central：Arkansas，Louisiana，Oklahoma，and Texas．
g．South Atlantic：Delaware，District of Columbia，Florida，Georgia，Maryland，
North Carolina，Puerto Rico，South Carolina，Virginia，and West Virginia．
h．Mountain：Arizona，Colorado，Idaho，Montana，Nevada，New Mexico，Utah，and Wyoming．
i．Pacific：Alaska，California，Guam，Hawaii，Oregon，and Washington．

Distribution of Individual Faculty Members，by Salary Interval and Institutional Category，for Upper Three Academic Ranks， 2007－08（Percent）


Note：The table is based on 1，273 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.
$\dagger$ Includes less than 1.0 percent of individuals with salaries higher than that interval．
＊Includes less than 1.0 percent of individuals with salaries lower than that interval．

SURVEY REPORT TABLE 9A
Percentile Distribution of Institutions，by Average Salary and Academic Rank，2007－08（Dollars）

| Rating ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1＊ |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile | 95 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| CATEGORY I（Doctoral） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 158，629 | 140，065 | 127，492 | 119，365 | 115，750 | 110，209 | 103，691 | 98，351 | 92，615 | 85，638 |
| Associate | 102，562 | 95，577 | 89，692 | 84，986 | 81，678 | 78，543 | 75，707 | 72，239 | 69，433 | 66，329 |
| Assistant | 87，251 | 81，221 | 75，816 | 72，187 | 68，957 | 66，989 | 64，239 | 61，825 | 59，646 | 56，617 |
| Instructor | 69，456 | 62，357 | 57，235 | 54，092 | 51，080 | 48，242 | 46，413 | 43，509 | 41，859 | 39，395 |
| All Combined | 121，782 | 107，549 | 97，107 | 91，634 | 86，733 | 82，527 | 76，985 | 72，825 | 69，316 | 65，674 |
| CATEGORY IIA（Master＇s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 110，540 | 102，626 | 94，892 | 90，514 | 86，015 | 81，399 | 78，205 | 75，020 | 71，711 | 66，772 |
| Associate | 85，327 | 78，957 | 74，580 | 70，411 | 67，519 | 65，585 | 63，616 | 61，183 | 58，542 | 55，796 |
| Assistant | 69，097 | 66，720 | 62，216 | 59，485 | 56，988 | 55，150 | 53，531 | 52，213 | 50，287 | 48，011 |
| Instructor | 58，635 | 55，223 | 50，125 | 48，186 | 46，483 | 44，520 | 43，368 | 41，841 | 40，137 | 37，276 |
| All Combined | 87，583 | 79，337 | 73，704 | 70，040 | 65，903 | 63，013 | 61，092 | 58，241 | 56，338 | 53，803 |
| CATEGORY IIB（Baccalaureate） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 113，125 | 100，892 | 86，734 | 79，406 | 75，916 | 72，303 | 67，900 | 63，815 | 59，155 | 53，931 |
| Associate | 83，451 | 77，204 | 68，847 | 64，139 | 61，332 | 58，866 | 56，419 | 53，433 | 50，773 | 46，259 |
| Assistant | 67，053 | 62，993 | 57，291 | 53，642 | 51，507 | 49，692 | 48，402 | 46，146 | 43，937 | 40，911 |
| Instructor | 55，817 | 51，736 | 48，525 | 45，643 | 43，464 | 41，998 | 40，379 | 38，673 | 36，828 | 34，729 |
| All Combined | 89，591 | 80，496 | 68，288 | 64，124 | 60，978 | 57，447 | 54，605 | 52，261 | 49，807 | 45，863 |
| CATEGORY III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 92，714 | 84，207 | 79，833 | 75，472 | 71，338 | 68，557 | 65，742 | 62，377 | 58，105 | 52，131 |
| Associate | 70，976 | 66，630 | 65，049 | 63，277 | 61，005 | 57，346 | 54，574 | 51，366 | 50，279 | 46，104 |
| Assistant | 61，358 | 59，836 | 56，083 | 53，326 | 50，478 | 48，575 | 47，265 | 45，472 | 43，535 | 41，002 |
| Instructor | 52，742 | 51，147 | 48，806 | 46，783 | 44，038 | 42，579 | 41，209 | 39，577 | 35，557 | 36，109 |
| All Combined | 71，634 | 68，190 | 62，991 | 59，687 | 57，167 | 55，263 | 52，060 | 50，035 | 47，070 | 44，450 |
| CATEGORY IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Rank | 63，011 | 60，537 | 59，047 | 56，111 | 53，561 | 50，034 | 47，755 | 45，382 | 44，011 | 41，384 |

Note：The table is based on 1,386 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35 ．
a．Interpretation of the Ratings： $1^{*}=95$ th Percentile； $1=80$ th； $2=60$ th； $3=40$ th； $4=20$ th．An average lower than the 20th percentile is rated 5 ．

Percentile Distribution of Institutions，by Average Compensation and Academic Rank，2007－08（Dollars）

| Rating ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1＊ |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile | 95 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| CATEGORY I（Doctoral） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 195，072 | 176，451 | 162，002 | 150，042 | 144，943 | 137，126 | 129，879 | 125，590 | 118，286 | 110，424 |
| Associate | 131，347 | 122，544 | 114，560 | 108，986 | 104，237 | 102，339 | 97，666 | 93，651 | 91，161 | 85，119 |
| Assistant | 113，912 | 102，921 | 95，651 | 92，092 | 89，250 | 86，508 | 82，220 | 80，178 | 76，458 | 73，012 |
| Instructor | 95，075 | 83，899 | 72，690 | 69，013 | 66，661 | 64，485 | 61，283 | 58，116 | 55，401 | 51，133 |
| All Combined | 151，521 | 135，770 | 122，887 | 115，863 | 109，336 | 104，388 | 98，433 | 94，773 | 89，049 | 84，608 |
| CATEGORY IIA（Master＇s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 139，388 | 128，914 | 120，358 | 116，026 | 109，349 | 104，435 | 99，591 | 95，697 | 91，758 | 84，698 |
| Associate | 109，888 | 102，637 | 96，172 | 92，127 | 87，718 | 84，230 | 81，739 | 78，519 | 75，613 | 71，585 |
| Assistant | 89，809 | 86，610 | 80，918 | 76，936 | 73，718 | 71，585 | 68，563 | 66，776 | 64，812 | 60，687 |
| Instructor | 77，209 | 72，162 | 66，496 | 62，572 | 59，900 | 57，704 | 55，822 | 53，076 | 51，225 | 47，684 |
| All Combined | 110，318 | 102，526 | 95，573 | 89，644 | 85，586 | 81，569 | 78，316 | 75，344 | 71，881 | 68，058 |
| CATEGORY IIB（Baccalaureate） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 145，291 | 130，192 | 113，914 | 103，513 | 98，018 | 91，861 | 87，341 | 81，201 | 75，701 | 67，809 |
| Associate | 110，254 | 100，605 | 89，252 | 83，872 | 79，203 | 75，803 | 73，126 | 69，016 | 64，924 | 58，901 |
| Assistant | 87，234 | 81，196 | 73，678 | 70，057 | 66，838 | 64，323 | 61，796 | 58，825 | 56，146 | 52，140 |
| Instructor | 72，119 | 68，762 | 61，995 | 59，361 | 56，393 | 53，538 | 51，725 | 49，478 | 47，060 | 43，361 |
| All Combined | 115，332 | 103，779 | 88，661 | 83，064 | 78，505 | 74，133 | 70，756 | 66，516 | 63，677 | 57，760 |
| CATEGORY III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 122，040 | 119，249 | 104，503 | 98，272 | 93，649 | 90，366 | 86，531 | 81，294 | 77，416 | 71，739 |
| Associate | 98，455 | 93，735 | 86，685 | 84，079 | 80，994 | 74，905 | 71，727 | 69，118 | 64，919 | 60，897 |
| Assistant | 83，482 | 81，947 | 76，852 | 72，416 | 68，394 | 65，115 | 62，851 | 60，670 | 58，083 | 54，891 |
| Instructor | 73，657 | 72，087 | 66，426 | 64，341 | 60，525 | 56，532 | 54，637 | 52，903 | 50，837 | 47，642 |
| All Combined | 101，173 | 93，265 | 83，103 | 80，291 | 76，500 | 72，160 | 70，497 | 67，614 | 61，694 | 57，040 |
| CATEGORY IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Rank | 82，107 | 77，183 | 74，635 | 71，090 | 66，983 | 63，454 | 60，086 | 55，993 | 54，445 | 52，452 |

[^3]Average Institutional Cost of Benefits per Faculty Member and Average Cost for Faculty Members Receiving Specific Benefits，in Dollars and as a Percent of Average Salary，by Institutional Affiliation and Itemized Benefits，2007－08 （All Ranks）

| Itemized Benefits | All <br> Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related | All Combined | Public | Private－ independent | Church－ Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN DOLLARS |  |  |  | AS A PERCENT OF SALAAY |  |  |  |
| AVERAGE PER FACLLTY MEMMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Insurance | 5，176 | 5,096 | 5，660 | 4.858 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 7.1 |
| Dental Insurance | 219 | 219 | 230 | 199 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Medical and Dental Combined | 1，705 | 2，010 | 1，017 | 1.022 | 2.3 | 27 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Disability | 199 | 173 | 266 | 242 | 0.3 | 02 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Tuition | 583 | 166 | 1，595 | 1，482 | 0.8 | 02 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Social Security | 4，622 | 4，277 | 5，836 | 4，667 | 6.1 | 58 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| Unemployment | 177 | 178 | 204 | 125 | 0.2 | 02 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Group Lie | 168 | 143 | 258 | 173 | 0.2 | 02 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Workers＇Compmesation | 381 | 339 | 545 | 358 | 0.5 | 05 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Benefits in Kind | 234 | 152 | 560 | 189 | 0.3 | 02 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| All Combined | 20,813 | 20，178 | 24，193 | 18，982 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 27.4 | 27.6 |
| AVERAGE FOR FACLUTY MEMBERS RECEIWWG SPECIFIC BENEFITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pelirement | 7，574 | 7，541 | 8.543 | 6，143 | 10.0 | 103 | 9.7 | 8.9 |
| Medical Insurance | 7，186 | 7，263 | 7，274 | 6.595 | 9.5 | 99 | 8.2 | 9.6 |
| Dental Insurance | 537 | 566 | 504 | 442 | 0.7 | 08 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Medical and Dental Combined | 7，977 | 7，987 | 7，972 | 7，876 | 10.5 | 109 | 9.0 | 11.5 |
| Disability | 313 | 332 | 301 | 267 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Tuition | 6，156 | 2，243 | 8，868 | 16，319 | 8.1 | 3.1 | 10.1 | 23.7 |
| Social Security | 4，887 | 4，589 | 5，904 | 4，800 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.1 |
| Unemploymert | 248 | 243 | 288 | 208 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Group Live | 217 | 204 | 274 | 181 | 0.3 | 03 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Workers＇Compansation | 471 | 446 | 587 | 397 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Benetis in Kind | 1，502 | 1，182 | 2，057 | 1，437 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Recsived Ary Benetif | 20.838 | 20，204 | 24，219 | 19,014 | 27.5 | 27.6 | 27.5 | 27.6 |

[^4]Average Institutional Cost of Benefits per Faculty Member and Average Cost for Faculty Members Receiving Specific Benefits，in Dollars and as a Percent of Average Salary，by Institutional Category and Itemized Benefits，2007－08 （All Ranks）

| Itemized Benelits | I | IIA | 18 | III | IV | I | IA | IIB | III | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 N DOLLARS |  |  |  |  | AS A PERCENT OF SALARY |  |  |  |  |
| AVERAGE PER FACULTY MEMBER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Insurance | 5，825 | 5048 | 4，574 | 4，587 | 2，022 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 80 | 3.7 |
| Derial Insurance | 216 | 252 | 171 | 249 | 137 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Medical and Dental Combined | 1，569 | 1，576 | 1，179 | 3，523 | 3，171 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 57 |
| Disability | 230 | 180 | 213 | 133 | 46 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Tuition | 604 | 459 | 1230 | 193 | 39 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 03 | 0.1 |
| Social Securiy | 5，073 | 4，404 | 4，553 | 3，616 | 2，896 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 5.2 |
| Unemployment | 109 | 191 | 176 | 80 | 827 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 03 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| Group Lite | 194 | 149 | 166 | 125 | 97 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Workers＇Compensation | 421 | 350 | 406 | 288 | 230 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 04 |
| Benefts in Kind | 380 | 97 | 147 | 134 | 46 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| All Combined | 23，398 | 19093 | 18.607 | 19，412 | 14，351 | 27.0 | 28.4 | 288 | 33.7 | 260 |
| AVERAGE FOR FACULTY MEMBERS RECEIMMG SPECIFIC BEUEFITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement | 8，960 | 6604 | 6，159 | 6，769 | 4，881 | 10.4 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 11.7 | 8.8 |
| Medical Insurance | 7，571 | 6.974 | 6.288 | 8，344 | 5，230 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 9.7 | 14.5 | 9.5 |
| Dental Insurance | 512 | 599 | 453 | 618 | 497 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 09 |
| Medical and Dental Combined | 8.646 | 8.160 | 7，140 | 9，407 | 5，351 | 10.0 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 16.3 | 9.7 |
| Disability | 374 | 266 | 267 | 290 | 154 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 03 |
| Tuition | 5，987 | 5881 | 12，561 | 2，232 | 227 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 19.5 | 3.9 | 0.4 |
| Social Securly | 5，435 | 4.599 | 4，613 | 3，951 | 3，190 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 72 | 6.9 | 5.8 |
| Unermployment | 138 | 287 | 276 | 131 | 1，473 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 27 |
| Group Lie | 251 | 198 | 191 | 186 | 145 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 03 |
| Workers＇Compensation | 476 | 496 | 450 | 388 | 369 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 07 |
| Beneflis in Kind | 2，083 | 778 | 1，132 | 699 | 490 | 23 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 09 |
| Repaived Acy Beneft | 23，408 | 19，131 | 18，634 | 19，428 | 14，381 | 27.1 | 28.5 | 28.9 | 33.7 | 26.0 |

[^5]SURVEY REPORT TABLE 11
Percent of Faculty in Tenure－Track Appointments and Percent of Faculty with Tenure，by Affiliation，Academic Rank，and Gender，2007－08

| Academic Rank | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related | All Combined | Public | Private－ Independent | Church－ Related |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NON－TENURE－TRACK |  |  |  | TENURE TRACK |  |  |  | TENURED |  |  |  |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 4.1 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 94.7 | 96.4 | 92.5 | 89.3 |
| Associate | 6.4 | 4.1 | 11.7 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 83.6 | 87.0 | 76.3 | 77.4 |
| Assistant | 17.0 | 13.6 | 23.2 | 25.4 | 75.7 | 78.3 | 72.7 | 66.3 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 8.3 |
| Instructor | 83.9 | 82.3 | 92.1 | 84.3 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 7.7 | 14.9 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Lecturer | 96.2 | 95.6 | 97.8 | 99.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| No Rank | 78.3 | 75.9 | 93.2 | 99.7 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 17.5 | 19.6 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| All Combined | 18.9 | 18.6 | 19.6 | 19.2 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 20.2 | 22.3 | 60.0 | 60.2 | 60.2 | 58.5 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 6.7 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 8.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 91.8 | 92.9 | 90.3 | 87.9 |
| Associate | 8.7 | 6.4 | 14.4 | 11.7 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 10.9 | 13.1 | 81.4 | 84.8 | 74.7 | 75.2 |
| Assistant | 21.8 | 18.2 | 27.6 | 30.7 | 70.9 | 73.4 | 68.3 | 62.8 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 6.5 |
| Instructor | 85.4 | 84.2 | 91.9 | 86.9 | 13.0 | 13.8 | 8.1 | 12.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Lecturer | 96.6 | 96.1 | 98.1 | 99.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| No Rank | 80.2 | 78.3 | 98.0 | 97.2 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 15.1 | 16.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| All Combined | 32.2 | 32.9 | 30.8 | 29.8 | 26.2 | 25.6 | 26.7 | 29.0 | 41.6 | 41.4 | 42.5 | 41.2 |
| MEN AND WOMEN COMBINED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 4.7 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 94.0 | 95.5 | 92.0 | 88.9 |
| Associate | 7.3 | 5.0 | 12.8 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 82.7 | 86.1 | 75.6 | 76.5 |
| Assistant | 19.4 | 15.8 | 25.3 | 28.2 | 73.3 | 76.0 | 70.6 | 64.5 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 7.4 |
| Instructor | 84.8 | 83.5 | 91.9 | 85.9 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 7.9 | 13.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Lecturer | 96.4 | 95.9 | 97.9 | 99.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| No Rank | 79.3 | 77.2 | 95.5 | 98.5 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| All Combined | 24.3 | 24.5 | 23.9 | 23.7 | 23.2 | 23.0 | 22.7 | 25.2 | 52.5 | 52.4 | 53.4 | 51.1 |

Note：The table is based on 1,386 reporting institutions．Prior to 2003－04，this table counted as tenure track all faculty who were tenured and in positions leading to consideration for tenure，and did not separately report faculty not on the tenure track．

Distribution of Faculty，by Rank，Gender，Category，and Affiliation，2007－08（Percent）

|  | All Combined |  |  |  | Public |  | Private－Independent | Church－Related |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]Number and Percent of Faculty, Average Salary, Average Compensation, Average Benefits, and Percent of Faculty Tenured, by Category and Academic Rank, 2007-08

| Category or Rank | Number of Faculty | Percent of Faculty | Average <br> Salary (\$) | Average Compensation (\$) | Average Benefits (\$) | Benefits as \% of Salary | Percent <br> Tenured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \| | 178,584 | 46.2 | 86,520 | 109,928 | 23,393 | 27.0 | 57.1 |
| IIA | 118,557 | 30.7 | 67,119 | 86,305 | 19,093 | 28.4 | 52.1 |
| IIB | 50,557 | 13.1 | 64,498 | 83,233 | 18,607 | 28.8 | 51.1 |
| III | 19,778 | 5.1 | 57,642 | 76,933 | 19,412 | 33.7 | 46.4 |
| IV | 18,866 | 4.9 | 55,302 | 69,683 | 14,351 | 26.0 | 20.9 |
| All Combined | 386,342 | 100.0 | 74,682 | 95,616 | 20,813 | 27.9 | 52.5 |
| INSTITUTIONS WITH ACADEMIC RANKS (Categories I through III) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 116,749 | 31.8 | 102,867 | 129,976 | 26,900 | 26.2 | 94.0 |
| Associate | 97,847 | 26.6 | 72,961 | 94,191 | 21,165 | 29.0 | 82.7 |
| Assistant | 100,340 | 27.3 | 61,103 | 78,918 | 17,738 | 29.0 | 7.3 |
| Instructor | 23,969 | 6.5 | 44,533 | 58,327 | 13,824 | 31.0 | 1.9 |
| Lecturer | 22,608 | 6.2 | 49,846 | 65,381 | 15,435 | 31.0 | 1.5 |
| No Rank | 5,963 | 1.6 | 56,245 | 72,625 | 16,325 | 29.0 | 1.5 |
| All Combined | 367,476 | 100.0 | 75,677 | 96,956 | 21,146 | 27.9 | 54.1 |

Note: The table is based on 1,386 (salary) and 1,374 (compensation) reporting institutions. For definitions of categories, see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.

SURVEY REPORT TABLE 14A
Number of Campuses Surveyed and Number of Campuses Included in Tabulations，by Category and Affiliation，2007－08

|  | Number Surveyed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number in Tabulations |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | All <br> Combined | Public | Private－ <br> Independent | Church－ <br> Related | All <br> Combined | Percent in <br> Tabulations | Public | Private－ <br> Independent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chelated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note：Appendices I and II include listings for individual institutions whose data were received after the completion of the tabulations．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.

SURVEY REPORT TABLE 14B
Number of Institutions Surveyed and Number of Institutions Included in Tabulations，by Category and Affiliation，2007－08

|  | Number Surveyed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number in Tabulations |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | All <br> Combined | Public | Private－ <br> Independent | Church－ <br> Related | All <br> Combined | Percent in <br> Tabulations | Public | Private－ <br> Independent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Related |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note：Appendices I and II include listings for individual institutions whose data were received after the completion of the tabulations．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.

Comparison of Average Salaries of Presidents and Faculty，by Category and Affiliation，2007－08

|  | Ratio of Salaries，President to Average Full Professor |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public |  |  | Private |  |  |
|  | Median | Minimum | Maximum | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
| Category I（Doctoral） | 3.49 | 1.91 | 6.24 | 3.49 | 2.55 | 6.00 |
| Category IIA（Master＇s） | 2.83 | 1.84 | 4.60 | 3.13 | 1.26 | 7.62 |
| Category IIB（Baccalaureate） | 2.52 | 1.47 | 4.28 | 3.18 | 1.16 | 8.56 |
| Category III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） | 2.49 | 1.46 | 5.06 | 2.39 | 2.11 | 4.43 |
| Category IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） | 2.97 | 1.72 | 7.45 | n．d． | n．d． | n．d． |
|  | Presidential Salary |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Public |  |  | Private |  |
|  | Median | Minimum | Maximum | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
| Category I（Doctoral） | 338，228 | 176，500 | 600，000 | 400，000 | 216，000 | 840，000 |
| Category IIA（Master＇s） | 226，000 | 143，362 | 353，600 | 248，500 | 53，155 | 532，400 |
| Category IIB（Baccalaureate） | 182，311 | 89，447 | 351，475 | 216，000 | 70，076 | 518，605 |
| Category III（Two－Year Colleges with Ranks） | 159，566 | 94，584 | 339，561 | 137，187 | 98，000 | 250，000 |
| Category IV（Two－Year Colleges without Ranks） | 159，151 | 73，480 | 340，062 | n．d． | n．d． | n．d． |

Note：The table is based on 822 reporting institutions．Private refers to both private－independent and church－related institutions．The average salary for All Ranks is used for cate－ gory IV colleges and other institutions that do not use academic ranks．Presidential salary is for calendar year 2007．It includes supplemental salary but not benefits．N．d．$=$ no data． For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.



[^0]:    Note：The table is based on 1，269（salary）and 1，184（continuing）responding institutions reporting comparable data both years．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35．N．d．＝no data．There were too few private－independent and church－related institutions in categories III and IV to generate valid separate statistics．These institutions are included in the All Combined column，however．

[^1]:    Note：The table is based on 1,184 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.

[^2]:    Note：The table is based on 1,386 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35．N．d．$=$ no data．

[^3]:    Note：The table is based on 1,374 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35.
    a．Interpretation of the Ratings： $1^{*}=95$ th Percentile； $1=80$ th； $2=60$ th； $3=40$ th； $4=20$ th．An average Iower than the 20th percentile is rated 5 ．

[^4]:    Note The instlufion or state tontritution to the refrement plar（s）is induded regardess of the vesting provision．Tution induses bith maivers and remissions．Medical and Den－
    
     expenfitues，not the sum of incividus beneli averages．The taile is based of 1,374 reporting instiotisns．

[^5]:    Nate：The institution or state contritution to the retirement plan［s）is included regardless of fie vestifg prorision．Tuifion includes both wainers and remissions．Medial and Den－
     housing，caleteria plans，or benelits wilh cash oplisns．Averages lor Al Continad are based an total eupendlures sof the sum of iddividual berefit averagas．For more detals on banelits，see Explangion of Ststistical Deta on pege 35．The table is tased on 1,374 reporing irstituins．

[^6]:    Note：The table is based on 1,386 reporting institutions．For definitions of categories，see Explanation of Statistical Data on page 35．N．d．$=$ no data．

