THE Comparatist

> VOLUME XXVIII May 2004

THE COMPARATIST

ature head on, considering David Damrosch's reflections on this very topic (one part of which was the plenary address at the SCLA's 2002 conference in Tuscaloosa), Gayatri Spivak's Wellek lectures on the state of comparative literature in our era of globalization, and Alfred López's book on the value of postcolonialism in conceptualizing a major trend in contemporary world literature. The shorter booknotes cover several classic comparative issues, such as cross-cultural contact among African American writers responding to Soviet Russia, the problems of periodization in late nineteenth-century decadence, and the dynamics of genre in the evolution of an anti-theatrical impulse within modern drama.

The 2003 Rutledge Prize, for the best graduate student paper at last year's Austin conference, was awarded to Erin Williams Hyman of the University of California at Los Angeles. The prize is described in the announcement on page 52, which also gives the judges' citation for her es-

say, "Theatrical Terror: Attentats and Symbolist Spectacle."

Editors of academic journals must always rely on many people for collegial assistance. I am especially grateful to our anonymous reviewers, whose thoughtful advice gave additional polish and precision to the scholarship appearing here. Wayne Froman, my colleague in Philosophy at George Mason University, was helpful in acquiring the essay by Andreas Grossman; just as Elaine Martin, the SCLA's Vice President and our former Review Editor, provided valuable assistance with the one by Sabine Doran. Jessica Johnson, the Managing Editor for this issue, gave it the benefit of both her editing skills and her lively, inquiring mind. Similar aid came from Yelizaveta Renfro, our previous Managing Editor, who next year goes to the University of Nebraska as a doctoral candidate. My student Lisa Aszklar helped locate this year's printer. Here at George Mason, Deborah Kaplan, Chair of the English Department; Daniele Struppa, Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences (CAS); Dee Holisky, Associate Dean of CAS; and Jeffrey Chamberlain, Chair of Modern and Classical Languages, all furnished support that, along with funds from the SCLA, helped to make this issue possible.

As I leave The Comparatist, I recall with pleasure and gratitude the many talented and thoughtful people with whom I have come in contact as editor: the former editors, my associate editors and review editors, the organizers of our annual conferences, the SCLA board members, our contributors from all over the United States and the world, the plenary speakers and paper presenters at our conferences, and the managing editors who have been so helpful in producing the journal. It has been, to paraphrase Guys and Dolls, the "oldest established permanent floating comparative literature seminar" that I have ever known. My very best wishes go to Mary Ann'Frese Witt, my successor as editor, who has already begun reading submissions for next year's issue, to be supported in part by her institution, North Carolina State University.

John Burt Foster, Jr.

COMPANIONS WITH TIME: MILTON, TASSO, AND RENAISSANCE DIALOGUE

W. Scott Howard

Hence loathed Melancholy Of Cerberus, and blackest Midnight born, In Stygian cave forlorn 'Mongst horrid shapes, and shrieks, and sights unholy, Find out some uncouth cell, Where brooding Darkness spreads his jealous wings, And the night-raven sings; There under ebon shades, and low-browed rocks, As ragged as thy locks, In dark Cimmerian desert ever dwell.1 (John Milton, "L'Allegro," 1-10)

Hence vain deluding Jovs. The brood of Folly without father bred, How little you bestead. Or fill the fixed mind with all your toys; Dwell in some idle brain. And fancies fond with gaudy shapes possess, As thick and numberless As the gay motes that people the sunbeams, Or likest hovering dreams The fickle pensioners of Morpheus' train. (John Milton, "Il Penseroso," 1-10)

Can one identify a work of art, of whatever sort, but especially a work of discursive art, if it does not bear the mark of a genre, if it does not signal or mention it or make it remarkable in any way? (Jacques Derrida, "The Law of Genre," 64)

Since their side-by-side debut in Poems of Mr. John Milton Both English and Latin Compos'd at several times (1645), Milton's well-loved 'twin' poems "L'Allegro" (i.e. 'the cheerful man') and "Il Penseroso" (i.e. 'the contemplative man') have raised questions about their generic characteristics. Readers have not unreasonably sought to affiliate the texts with an apparently inexhaustible array of artistic forms, themes, and cultural discourses.2 This aporia concerning the companion poems' situation within and against identifiable literary conventions affirms at least one important point: that "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" constitute (both separately and together) a hybrid genre. Given such professed indeterminacy as well as their widely acknowledged metrical and tropological mirroring of one another, "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" remarkably have not yet been examined in relation to the one Renaissance literary form that epitomizes both the mixing of genres and the dramatization of intertex-

tuality: the dialogue.3 Although a complete analysis of Milton's companion poems as hybrid texts lies beyond the scope of this article, I propose to investigate their participation in a tradition of sixteenth- and seventeenth-century English verse dialogue informed by Torquato Tasso's foundational "Discorso dell'arte del dialogo" (1585), an essay with which Milton was familiar. The details of Milton's life and works provide ample evidence of his esteem for Tasso4, whose influence (from the 1630s onwards) was significant and has been studied at length with regard to the poets' respective ideas about the heroic epic, the pastoral mode, and the heroic sonnet, but not concerning Renaissance dialogue. 5 Comus (1634), for example, owes a considerable debt to Tasso's pastoral drama, Aminta (1573), which may have also influenced Samson Agonistes (1671). The origin of the twin poems⁶ has been placed as early as 1629 and as late as 1638, which suggests that "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" could indeed have emerged from a pivotal moment in Milton's career when he was reading and responding to Tasso's works. At least one early modern text—a dialogue of the dead published in 1762—emphasizes Milton's affinity for Tasso's dialogues: $\it II$ Tasso. A dialogue. The Speakers John Milton, Torquato Tasso. In which, New Light is thrown on their Poetical and Moral Characters (Keener 285). According to C. P. Brand (205-308) and Carnes Lord and Dain A. Trafton, Tasso's dialogues and accompanying discourse on the genre were very popular texts "throughout Europe during [his] lifetime and well into the seventeenth century" (9). Jon Snyder claims that Milton "is Tasso's most legitimate literary heir" and that "seventeenth-century theorists of dialogue took his work as a common point of departure" (183).

Many of Milton's readers have understood his companion pieces as autobiographical self-fashionings that reflect the young poet's inevitable choice between secular and sacred realms of experience. Louis Martz, for example, holds that the poems "develop a linear, sequential effect, moving from youthful hedonism toward the philosophic, contemplative mind" (46)—an interpretation both resilient and widely influential. Such views, however convincing on their own terms, subordinate the achievement of "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" to the trajectory of the poet's life and later works, especially Paradise Lost, which has received much critical study as a dialogic text⁷ while Milton's earliest poems have not. I agree with John Shawcross that "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" can and should be valued in their own right "as ludic pieces rather than as 'serious', 'biographical', or 'psychological' statements" (95). In this essay I will accordingly assert that Milton's twin poems neither express an autobiographical, linear process of the poet's maturation from innocence (the world of "L'Allegro", or Mirth personified) to experience (the world of "Il Penseroso", or Melancholy personified) nor confront their readers with a dualistic choice between one or the other sensibility. As works of dialectical dialogue, "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso," I believe, involve their respective characters and implied readers in a persistent critique of ideas and experiences of either mirth or melancholy as formulated through binary oppositions. The texts' dialogical representation of that disputation stages two important themes: the inter-involvement of both existential conditions, and the action of the reasoning process. For Milton's companion poems underscore not only the many epistemological and metaphorical crossings between discourses of both mirth and melancholy, but (most importantly) the social dynamics of shared inquiry—so central to the genre and modes of Renaissance dialogue—wherein the movement of thought may be apprehended as a manifestation of physical and intellectual activities that unfold within the tract of human time.

Derrida's question in the third epigraph to this essay leads to a premise confirmed by the ceaseless inter-animation of Milton's twin poems: that the discursive conditions for a text's conformity to and transgression against any generic taxonomy are seldom visible as such within the work itself. Derrida offers his formulation of the 'genre-clause' as a trope for those allusive/elusive principles:

The clause or floodgate of genre declasses what it allows to be classed. It tolls the knell of genealogy or of genericity, which it however also brings forth to the light of day. Putting to death the very thing that it engenders, it cuts a strange figure; a formless form, it remains nearly invisible, it neither sees the day nor brings itself to light. (65)

As if poised on either side of the genre-clause, "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" devise their formal and thematic identities, differences, and inter-relationships through mutual renunciations and invocations, as proclimed by the prologues to each poem, given in my epigraph. "L'Allegro" banishes the "loathed Melancholy" (1) of "Il Penseroso" in order to invoke the "goddess fair and free, / In heaven yclept Euphrosyne, / And by men, heart-easing Mirth" (11-13). And "Il Penseroso" reciprocally banishes the "vain deluding Joys" (1) of "L'Allegro" in order to invoke the "goddess, sage and holy, / [...] divinest Melancholy" (11-12).

This rhetoric of mutual exclusion engenders, both between and within the works, identity and difference, spatiality and temporality. The "horrid shapes" (4) of Melancholy inform the condition of Mirth's possibilities, just as the "gaudy shapes" (6) of Mirth influence Melancholy's appearances and transformations. And the concluding, internalized "prophetic strain" (174) that the figure of "Il Penseroso" envisions within that poem's place of repose—the "peaceful hermitage" (168)—hinges upon a complementary world of externalized motion and change-"Towered cities [...] / And the busy hum of men" (117-18)—that the figure of "L'Allegro" pursues across a pastoral landscape. Neither text can do without the other even as each strives for autonomy. "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" thus enact a relentless dialectic of question and answer, commandment and resistance that begins with a single word—"Hence"— simultaneously signifying both spatial and temporal connotations: that is, away "from here, from this place" and "from this time onward" (OED 1:1289). Milton's hence, I argue, therefore serves as a master trope of dialogism

between and within these two works that individually and collectively

craft the poetic dialogue as a vehicle for the historical imagination. dialectical dialogue as a means for imagining, between and within "L'Alcrossings between and within the texts, outlines Milton's emerging idea tiguity and contrariety. That pattern, which traces formal and thematic legro" and "Il Penseroso," a pattern for history marked by discursive conous cycles of degeneration and contrary acyclical paths toward progress. of history as a dynamic, often violent, cultural process shaped by contigusense. Rather than subordinating one work to the other and thereby investigated for their mutual contribution to the poet's historiographic parable to those I have just advanced, his twin poems have not yet been While Milton's historical imagination has been theorized in terms comcompanionship in terms of both/and. The poems' continuous transcrippositing a choice of either/or, I wish to see the nature of the texts' signals an open, temporal dialectic between two interdependent, fundation and transposition of their generic and modal forms and themes thus inter-involved literary matrices ("L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso") of social mental states of being (mirth and melancholy) articulated through two discourse. This essay therefore posits "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" as tradictory passions of body and mind, which, from Milton's point of view, inherently sociable, dialogic texts that explore complementary and contogether drive the wayward course of human action. I will argue more specifically that Milton engages with the genre of

I. On Dialogue: From Genre to Mode

The art that uses only speech by itself or verse [that is, rhythmical speech], the verses being homogeneous or of different kinds, has as yet no name; for we have no common term to apply to the [prose] mimes of Sophron and Xenarchus and to the Socratic dialogues, nor any common term for mimesis produced in verse, whether iambic trimeters or elegiacs or some other such metre. (Aristotle, *Poetics*, 1447b, 540)

The writer of a dialogue must be an imitator no less than the poet; he occupies a middle ground between poet and dialectician. (Torquato Tasso, "Discourse on the Art of the Dialogue," 33)

The chronotope in literature has an intrinsic generic significance. It can even be said that it is precisely the chronotope that defines genre and generic be said that it is precisely the chronotope that defines genre and generic distinctions, for in literature the primary category in the chronotope is time. The chronotope as a formally constitutive category determines to a significant degree the image of man in literature as well. The image of man is always intrinsically chronotopic. (M. M. Bakhtin, The Dialogic Imagination, 84-85)

Studies in the field of dialogue often begin with an apology for two factors: 1) because works within the genre take so many different shapes and moods, a standard definition is perhaps impossible to achieve; and 2) a pervasive lack of theory about the genre (since Aristotle's *Poetics*)

THE COMPARATIST

further complicates any attempt to situate the art form within literary tradition. John Dryden, for example, offers the following extenuation in his "Life of Lucian" (1711):

I will not here take notice of the several kinds of dialogue, and the whole art of it, which would ask an entire volume to perform. This has been a work long wanted, and much desired, of which the ancients have not sufficiently informed us, and I question whether any man now living can treat it accurately. (The Works of Lucian 45-46)

The dizzying heterogeneity of dialogue's avatars in the Renaissance and early modern eras—including prose works, such as Petrarch's Secretum (c. 1342), John Heywood's Witty and Wittess (c. 1556), and Thomas Becon's The Sicke Mannes Salue (1560), and also verse dialogues, such as Francois Villon's "Le Debat de Villon et Son Cuer" (c. 1489), Anne Bradstreet's "A Dialogue Between Old England and New" (1650), and Henry Vaughan's "The Evening-watch" (1650)—suggests first of all that the genre may be most productively studied as a mode (rather than a strict form) of literary discourse. Within the context of this essay, an epistemological shift from genre to mode, I believe, pays tribute to the most enduring characteristic of literary dialogue whether in prose or verse: the representation of intertextual processes of communication.

Dialogue, thus apprehended, conveys the transformation of shared inquiry into literary discourse. Virginia Cox constructs a useful formulation, in this regard, of dialogue as a matrix between language, social practice, fiction, and cognition:

by duplicating its primary communication with a fictional double, the dialogue has the effect of calling attention to the act of communication itself. In a genre like the manual, or the encyclopedia, the *personae* of persuasion—the "addresser" and "addressee"—are conventionalized to the maximum and, effectively, subsumed in the message. In the dialogue, quite the opposite happens: the act of persuasion is played out before us, and we cannot simply absorb the message without reflecting on the way in which it is being sent and received. (5-6)

As Cox notes further, this self-reflexivity underscores dialogue's inherent double structure, which, as it may be multiplied almost endlessly, allows for creative interpolations between and within texts as well as between works and readers. Dialogue hence may be posited as a genre that is always-becoming-other and a literary mode that remains open to the continual incorporation of newly printed voices. The linguistic reflexivity proper to dialogue, therefore, works within and against the principles of mimetic art to achieve what Robert Siegle calls a "consitutive poetics": "how a culture—whether in literature, cultural coding in general, science, or philosophy—composes its identity and that of its individuals and constitutes the 'world' within which it takes place" (12). For dialogue's staging of intertextuality involves a double turning: both inward (toward the dynamics of fictive discourse) and outward (toward the dynamics of

ity of human reasoning: as plot is to drama, so debate is to dialogue. In genre have sought to define dialogue as a means for conveying the activwithin (or exclusion from) a theory of mimesis, writers and critics of the quotation at the head of this section-concerning dialogue's inclusion open rhetorical structures engage readers in the processes of questioning according to either didactic or dialectical modes of discourse. In the foreand reasoning—hence (for Tasso) the affinity between dialogue, poetry, (as illustrated by the second quotation above) on the grounds that such of the Dialogue," Tasso expresses a preference for dialectical dialogues most Renaissance statement on literary dialogue, "Discourse on the Art tandem with that premise, theorists have further articulated dialogue poems. Milton stages in these two works an intertextual drama about the formal and tropological inter-relationships between and within the I assert, do exactly that by involving their readers in a disputation of the and philosophy (i.e. dialectics). Milton's "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso, genre-clause highlights the intrinsic undecidability of any literary form, Bakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of classiakhtin's theory of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the problem of the chronotope (84-85) grounds the chronotop rality comparable to Bakhtin's notion of the "chronotope" (illustrated by the third quotation at the top of this section). While Derrida's idea of the action of debate and thereby invests each text with a principle of temposifying genres in a fundamental dialectical modality-hence, temporalargues a similar point: that all genres emerge from the modal conditions ity—of literary experience that remains open to change. Tzvetan Todorov "[t]here has never been a literature without genres; it is a system in ual speech acts and historically institutionalized discursive practices: for their own ongoing reconfigurations at the crossroads between individgins cannot be separated from the terrain of the genres themselves" (15). "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso," though each balanced on either side of an constant transformation, and historically speaking the question of oriirreducible (if irreconcilable) generic companionship, together entangle their readers in an invigorating experience of spatio-temporal modalities Provoked by a lacuna in Aristotle's Poetics—as illustrated by the first

of interpretation.

This dialectical and modal quality of dialogue has not infrequently This dialectical and modal quality of dialogue has not infrequently been critiqued. Virginia Cox, for example, distinguishes "true" from fealse" dialogues—that is: "dialogues which are genuinely dialectical and those which are monologues in disguise" (2)—and thereby joins a converthose which are monologues in disguise" (2)—and thereby joins a converthose which are monologues in disguise" (2)—and thereby joins a conversation shared by Dryden, Pallavicino, and Tasso (among many others). Sation shared by Dryden, Pallavicino, and Tasso (among many others). All of these writers and theorists express a preference for the dialectical All of these writers and theorists express a preference for the dialectical or philosophical dialogue, as opposed to the didactic or rhetorical dialogue, because of the reader's more active, hermeneutic role in fully logue, because of the reader's more active, hermeneutic Poesy" dialogic, open works. In "A Defense of 'An Essay of Dramatic (1668) Dryden describes his method in writing "An Essay of Dramatic Poesy" (1668) as "sceptical, according to that way of reasoning which was used by Socrates, Plato, and all the Academics of old"; he also character-

izes his "dramatic essay" as "a dialogue sustained by persons of several opinions, all of them left doubtful, to be determined by the readers in general" (124). In 1662 Sforza Pallavicino, a Jesuit literary theorist and historian, classified dialogues according to a text's construction of the reader's role. He reasoned that some dialogues are didactic and accordingly limit a reader's independent inquiry of matters disputed within the work. Pallavicino also noted another type of dialogue that he describes as "a court-case conducted in the absence of a judge" in which the reader plays the roles of arbitrator and interpreter (344).

These arguments recapitulate theoretical insights first advanced by Tasso¹⁰ whose epistemology of dialogue works within and against the gap in Aristotle's poetic theory (as addressed above) to fashion a bridge between the mimesis of plot and the representation of intellectual action—that is, of human reasoning:

Imitation represents either the actions of men or their discussions, and although few deeds are performed without words and few discourses without activity, at least of the intellect, nevertheless I judge deeds to be very different from discourses. Discourses are proper to speculative men and deeds to active men, and there are, therefore, two chief kinds of imitation: one of action and active men and the other of speeches and men who reason. (19)

Tasso's foundational achievement grows from this elegant analogy between the imitation of action in drama and of discourse in dialogue. Whereas Aristotle in *Poetics* (i.e. passages 1450a-b) draws distinctions between the mimesis of character, thought, diction, song, and spectacle in order to isolate and elevate the importance of plot and the arrangement of incidents (544), Tasso seeks to join a theory of representation with the dynamic reciprocity between action, character, thought, and rhetorical context that is most essential in dialogue, as illustrated so fully by Plato's dialogues, for example. For Tasso the whole fabric of dialogue—not merely the figuration of speech acts, but of the entire narrative framework as well—is constitutive of the action of shared intellectual inquiry.

This heightened sensitivity to inter-relationships between language, genre, and culture makes Tasso's theory of dialogue inherently sociable, self-reflexive, and modal because, as he asserts, distinctions between types of dialogues derive not only from the topics debated, but—more importantly—from the ways in which those matters are explored and mediated by author, text, context, characters, and reader. Tasso thus posits dialogue, either in prose or verse, as a social matrix, or vehicle, for bridging the gap between public and private discourses and accordingly, as he argues in the following passage, determines both civil/moral and speculative ends to the dialogical representation of discussion:¹¹

Discussions can be directed toward contemplative matters or toward actions; if they are directed toward actions, they deal with choosing and avoiding, if toward contemplative matters, with knowledge and truth.

Accordingly, some dialogues ought to be called civil and moral, while others should be called speculative. (23)

In both cases, Tasso's preference resides with dialogues that provoke readers to grapple with dialectical questions and that also self-reflexively foreground that provocative quality within the narrative structure of the discussion that is mediated through figural language: "one imitates not only the disputation but also the characters of those who are disputing" only the disputation but also the characters of those who are disputing and (41). Dialogue therefore is a hybrid mode for Tasso, one that claims a middle ground between poetry and philosophy, or between tropological and dialectical discourses: the "writer of a dialogue must be an imitator and less than the poet; he occupies a middle ground between poet and dialectician" (33).

reflection that the genre's social, poetic, and philosophical dimensions together may shape a hybrid mode of discourse aptly suited to appresign for a crossroads between temporality and spatiality in texts that of axes and fusion of indicators characterizes the artistic chronotope" responsive to the movements of time, plot and history. This intersection flesh, becomes artistically visible; likewise, space becomes charged and temporal and spatial registers: "Time, as it were, thickens, takes on dialogic literature. For Tasso, as for Bakhtin, literary dialogue fuses idea of dialogue complements Bakhtin's formulation of the chronotope in hending the temporality of the reasoning process. In this regard, Tasso's convey the experience of "living artistic perception" (243)—a phenomenal register that infuses limned kairos with the otherness of the Other (Bakhtin 84). Just as Bakhtin devises the chronotope as the site of and elusive, bewildering heterogeneity of thoughts apprehended in the action (Sipiora 1-22; Kermode 44-64; Mecke 201, 205)—Tasso also theorizes dialogue as a means for scripting the drama of intertextuality and the Tasso's chief contribution to the theory of dialogue, I believe, is his

of their public and private transformations. and "Il Penseroso" when the poems are conceptualized as comprising one make very different interpretive demands upon their readers. Stanley dialectical dialogue—engaged modally by way of two texts and a pluraloso"] are consistently strenuous" (Is 113, 125, 126). Such claims, however, recast arguments—first advanced by Allen (3-23) and Martz (31-59) "the availability of alternative readings [in "L'Allegro"] operates to mini-Fish asserts that "L'Allegro is easier to read than Il Penseroso" because ity of voices/discourses-rather than posited as two distinct works that tive argument" while the hermeneutic "activities required [in "Il Pensermize our responsibility to any one of them and therefore to any consecugraphical works that portray Milton's maturation from "youthful hedonism toward the philosophic, contemplative mind" (46). Whereas Martz mately recapitulates Martz's comprehension of the poems as autobio-(and eternal) "experience" of "Il Penseroso." In fact, Fish's thesis ultinate the secular (and temporal) "innocence" of "L'Allegro" to the spiritual and more recently articulated by Swaim ("Myself" 83-84)—that subordi-That, I will argue, is precisely what it's like to read both "L'Allegro"

THE COMPARATIST

examines the "rising" poet's growth within the language of the poems, Fish projects the same developmental logic upon the texts' interpreters (125).

Although many readers have defended the singularity of "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso," arguing that Milton's twin poems are in many ways without precedent in English literary history (Carey, 2nd ed. 134), I myself would place the texts within a capacious English tradition of poetic dialogue that includes, for example, Edmund Spenser's The Shepheardes Calender (1579), Margaret Cavendish's "A Dialogue Between An Oake, And A Man Cutting Him Downe" (1653), and Andrew Marvell's "A Dialogue between the Soul and Body" (1681). Such a tradition of Renaissance and early modern English verse dialogue indeed exists, 13 but has yet to be addressed thoroughly by modern scholarship. Prominent studies in the field—such as those by Osmond, Snyder, Wilson, Ong, Merrill, and Purpus—focus primarily (if not exclusively) upon the history and trajectory of English prose dialogue.

Before proceeding, in the next section, to a reading of "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" vis-à-vis the tradition and theory of poetic dialogue thus far presented, it will be useful to refine one step further the notion of chronotopic dialogism. Bakhtin postulates several permutations of the chronotope, including what he calls the "chronotope of encounter" in which "the temporal element predominates" and which "is marked by a higher degree of intensity in emotions and values" (243). The intertextual pyrotechnics between and within "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" could indeed be described as such an encounter, or inter-involvement; but the complementary contradictions that shape the twin poems' fiery relationships may also be evaluated more precisely in terms of Milton's own political rhetoric—in particular, according to what I wish to identify as his tropes of "contiguity" and "contrariety" that also inform the poet's early ideas of historical cycles of repetition and variation.

In Areopagitica (1644), for example, Milton formulates both of these concepts to support his central claim that printing and public discourse should not be suppressed, but licensed: "For this is not the liberty which wee can hope, that no grievance ever should arise in the Commonwealth, that let no man in this World expect; but when complaints are freely heard, deeply consider'd, and speedily reform'd, then is the utmost bound of civill liberty attain'd, that wise men looke for" (Complete Prose 2:487). Milton's immediate occasion for writing this tract—as Sirluck elaborates (53-136; 158-81)—was the Parliamentary ordinance of 14 June 1643 that restricted the licensing of printing. Milton's critique of that statute importantly turns upon a defense of public dialogue and debate engendered by texts that provoke a wide range of interpretations, each of which is figured as a stone employed in the foundations for a new commonwealth:

And when every stone is laid artfully together, it cannot be united into a continuity, it can but be contiguous in this world; neither can every peece of the building be of one form; nay rather the perfection consists in this, that out of many moderat varieties and brotherly dissimilitudes that are not

vastly disproportionall arises the goodly and the gracefull symmetry that commends the whole pile and structure. [my emphasis] (2:555)

The political architecture for England's 'democratic' future, asserts Milton by way of this analogy, rests upon a principle of discursive contiguity—that is, of divergent opinions expressed and disputed freely regarding matters civil, domestic, or ecclesiastical. To follow Milton's homology one step further: those differing opinions, like the stones to which they are likened, may touch irregularly upon one another to create, by way of their disproportionate overlaps and gaps, "the goodly and the gracefull symmetry that commends the whole pile and structure."

point of view, the knowledge of good and evil are distinct yet imbricate 514). This complementary yet contradictory relationship between good and evil thus posited as active forces in the world signals both the and evill as two twins cleaving together leapt forth into the World" (2: world, we bring impurity much rather: that which purifies us is triall, and triall is by what is contrary" [my emphasis] (2:515). Milton's pivotal "It was from out the rinde of one apple tasted, that the knowledge of good —that is, contiguous with each other—as he also affirms in Areopagitica: tropes of contiguity and contrariety, in this one tract, concern slightly different (though certainly interrelated) dimensions of human communipersistence and hoped for purification of original sin through a related cation and interaction: the first, discourse; the second, experience. Milkey principle of contrariety: "Assuredly we bring not innocence into the etc., all of which drive the action of public debate. Contrariety, on the difference and similarity, dissonance and harmony, autonomy and unity, other hand, marks for Milton "the dynamics of meaning" (Shoaf 2)—that ton's paradoxical idea of contiguity thus involves rhetorical principles of reasoned judgement based upon the experience gained from "complaints is, a transition from dialogue to interpretation, from disputation to a [that] are freely heard, deeply consider d, and speedily reform'd." Public disputation also holds intrinsic value because, from Milton's

combines them to achieve effects of dissonance, dislocation, and surprise" "canvasses the elements of a fixed traditional pattern [and] unexpectedly "Il Penseroso" (Daniells 23, 39). Mannerism, according to Roy Daniells, ism often associated with Milton's early texts, including "L'Allegro" and served—especially with regard to the poems' opening ten lines that each distortion between one text and the other, as many critics have obnerist system of metrical equivalence and differentiation, mirroring and (11). On a formal level, Milton's companion poems indeed enact a manexample would be the lark of "L'Allegro"—who "begin[s] his flight, / And relentlessly transfer and transmogrify several prominent images. One present a variation of the canzone (Carey, 2nd ed. 134). The works also singing startle[s] the dull night" (41-42)—that antithetically complebattlements" (77) of "L'Allegro"—"Where perhaps some beauty lies, / The shunn'st the noise of folly" (61). Another one would be the "Towers, and ments the nightingale of "Il Penseroso," Milton's "Sweet bird that Both of these tropes also work within the logic of European manner-

cynosure of neighbouring eyes" (79-80)—that inversely mirror "the studious cloister" (156) of "Il Penseroso" where "the high embowed roof, / With antique pillars' massy proof, / And storied windows richly dight, / [Cast] a dim religious light" (157-60). Parallel images such as these (as well as themes) that asymmetrically cross and transform from one poem to the other have been convincingly examined many times, "but only in terms of epistemological and mimetic distinctions between "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" not with regard to the modal role of dialectical dialogue within each of the texts and between them both.

Milton's tropes of contiguity and contrariety illustrate (more precisely than the notion of stylistic mannerism) the inter-involved processes of communication at work throughout the twin poems and thereby underscore, within the context of Milton's political rhetoric, the relevance of Tasso's theory of dialectical dialogue. The following section offers an analysis of the intertextual activity of contentious inquiry set forth simultaneously (on both spatial and temporal levels of discourse) between and within the companion poems by the single word that initiates each work. That word is hence. Milton's formulation of hence, I argue, signals the chronotope of contiguity and contrariety between and within "L'Allegro" and "II Penseroso."

II. Contiguity and Contrariety Between and Within "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso"

Through these two poems the images are properly selected, and nicely distinguished; but the colours of the diction seem not sufficiently discriminated. I know not whether the characters are kept sufficiently apart. No mirth can indeed be found in his melancholy; but I am afraid that I always meet some melancholy in his mirth. They are two noble efforts of imagination. (Samuel Johnson, Lives of the Most Eminent English Poets, 1:143-44)

Samuel Johnson's oft-cited appraisal of Milton's twin poems implies a preference for the "good," Aristotelian melancholy of "Il Penseroso"—a judgment that prevails in the history of both works' critical reception. "Il Penseroso" wins every time when the debate between the poems is mediated by any one of five binary taxonomies that predominate in close readings (since Johnson's pronouncement) of the relationship between "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso": mirth/melancholy, secular/spiritual, day/night, innocence/experience, and time/eternity. There are, of course, variations upon these general hermeneutic strategies as well as critiques of such dualistic structures. ¹⁵ David Miller, for example, notes that both works "are more complex than such categories indicate, and together they yield a sense of unity that is not just the unity of complement" (32). Yet even Miller's attempt to read "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" in terms of "a unified vision" (32) recapitulates a privileging of the "superiority of the pattern set by 'Il Penseroso" (36) because, as he concludes, Milton attains in that poem "the top rung of the earthly Platonic ladder" (37). This subordination of "L'Allegro" to "Il Penseroso" across the spectrum of

readings consequently engenders a series of right-hand values that may each be substituted into seemingly endless iterations of antitheses between the texts: cheerful/contemplative, body/mind, outside/inside, external sight/internal sight, active/passive, natural/supernatural, left (sinister)/right (virtuous), etc. In this fashion, historically critical reception ister) posits the texts' preludes as mutually exclusive invocations: generally posits the texts' preludes as mutually exclusive invocations: generally posits the other in order to secure its own proper place each poem repudiates the other in order to secure its own proper place and state of being. "L'Allegro" expels Melancholy—"Hence loathed Melancholy"—so that Mirth may celebrate "in the chequered shade" (96) on a sunshine holiday" (98); and "Il Penseroso" equally drives away a "sunshine holiday" (98); and "Il Penseroso" equally drives away a land of "arched walks [and] twilight groves, / And wonted state" (37) in a land of "arched walks [and] twilight groves, / And

shadows brown that Sylvan loves" (133-34). signified: "I. Of place. 1. (Away) from here, from this place; to a distance" one word that initiates both works—the hinge that announces as it renounces: hence. According to the OED, as early as 1275 "hence" has since 1597, a point not yet addressed by the poems' readers. While primary meanings of "hence" that have all been in English language use spatial connotation of this pivotal word in both texts excludes three other "studious cloister" (156) of "Il Penseroso" above the temporal, definition in support of readings that conclusively praise the eternal (1:1289). Critics of Milton's companion poems tend to favor this spatial and book-length studies—including "civil-suited" from "L'Allegro" (Corns "unreproved pleasures free" (40) of "L'Allegro." Yet that preference for a many individual words and phrases in Milton's texts have spurred essayof his poetic works have not. A comprehensive study of those syntactical and rhetorical contexts—a project far beyond the scope of this essay— 76)—Milton's renderings of "hence" and "henceforth" across the spectrum Such interpretations, however, turn upon a spatial connotation of the

would certainly yield rich insights. definition therefore operates within and against the temporal: "II. Of time. 4. From this time onward, henceforward, henceforth" (1:1289). The fourth of which are variations upon the first two. The word's spatial consequences, etc. 5. From this, as a source or origin. IV. 8. Comb. a. with the third being temporal and the fourth spatial: "III. Of issue, result, condition the possibilities for the third and fourth primary connotations, first and second cases underwrite/overwrite one another and thereby etc.; hence-meant, intended, purposed, planned from this place. Obs. or arch" (1:1289). These four cases of "hence," I submit, together shape the sb., as hence-departure, -going; b. with pa. pple., as hence-brought, -got, chronotopic dialogism of contiguity between and contrariety within "L'Aland-spatial, mutually inclusive invocations-and-renunciations. Milton's that are not spatial and mutually exclusive invocations, but temporallegro" and "Il Penseroso" and thereby engender prologues for each poem and response between and within each text to the extent that each poem hence thus commences a dialectical dialogue of question and answer, call As the OED indicates, "hence" has four primary cases, the third and

THE COMPARATIST

and each state of being paradoxically inflects the other's autonomy and interdependence. 17

exaggerates that relationship by inverting the works' appearance, so that the beginning to the ending of both works. (The tradition of printing "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" as companion poems that appear from one text to the other). contiguity. One edition in particular, edited by Trent and Tinker, back-to-back heightens the reader's perception of that intertextual and asymmetrical formal and tropological parallels and distinctions from its opposite in order to "banish" it to the other text: "L'Allegro" invokes "loathed Melancholy" (1) and commands it to "Find out some uncouth the reader must turn the book over and upside-down to continue reading of one touches upon the other's alterity through a myriad of symmetrical contiguous movement from one poem to the other, whereby the identity prologues' rhetoric of mutual exclusion informs a dialectical and to disperse and "Dwell in some idle brain" (5). In this fashion the cell" (5), and "Il Penseroso" charges the "vain deluding Joys" (1) of Mirth invocations- and-renunciations. Each poem thus begins by calling forth prologues may then easily be seen as mutually inclusive, dialogic dialectical and chronotopic point of departure for each poem, the texts companionable conversation unfolds. Once apprehended as the further emphasize the social matrix within which the two poems' The manifold spatial and temporal connotations of Milton's hence

"brooding Darkness" within the context of "L'Allegro." cies fond" (6) described by "Il Penseroso" inflect the mood of Melancholy's by the prologue to "Il Penseroso", just as the sensibility of Mirth's "fan-"L'Allegro" (4) inform the condition of Mirth's "gaudy shapes" pronounced of the "horrid shapes" of Melancholy first articulated in the prologue to comes self-division and mutual inter/intra-inscription. The appearance with the contiguous contraries of one another's Other. Self-definition besuccessfully restricted contraries, but they are each also inter-involved site. Not only are both texts equally shaped from within by their own unmultiple cases of Milton's hence, the poems' reciprocal exclusions parasation involving not only contiguous relationships between the two of "hence" would indicate. Each prologue thus initiates a dynamic conver-Just as "L'Allegro" opens by ostracizing Melancholy to the space and temporality of "Il Penseroso," "Il Penseroso" exiles Mirth to the temporality and space of "L'Allegro": "the offending mood is condemned to a perdoxically generate, within each text, the inclusion of each work's oppoidentity depends upon the other's dialogical difference. Facilitated by the poems, but also contrary forces within each text, because each work's "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" grows more complex, as the four meanings between and within each work has not received attention. The drama of contiguity has been widely noted by critics, the concomitant contrariety petual tempo which is opposite that which is [striven] for in the poem" (Folsom 40). While this first manifestation of the texts' dialectical

poems reaches points of intensity during each work's narratives about observe that the towers mark the epistemological centers of both poems. ¹⁸ Towers and other tower-like enclosures appear in three sections of "L'Allegro" (41-8; 77-80; 117-44) and in two passages of "Il Penseroso" different types of towers and enclosed structures. Indeed, many critics stones of the other text's enclosures: Mirth's presence in the towers of structures, as they are each composed of the contiguous and contrary (85-130; 155-74). Space and time converge upon these architectural choly's agency in the enclosed structures of "Il Penseroso" involves the "L'Allegro" turns upon the co-presence of Melancholy just as Melaninextricable differences and similarities between and within both poems ongoing disputation and the vigilant reader's active discernment of the passages thus enacts an intertextual and dialectical drama of that its contrary. The inter-involvement of Mirth and Melancholy in these form because each text and state of being depends upon the existence of collaboration of Mirth. Neither poem can isolate either entity in a pure This dialectical and dialogic multi-voicing between and within the

and psychological states.

The first tower in "L'Allegro" (41-48) is identified as the "watch-tower in the skies" (43) of the lark—that is, the emissary of Mirth. However, in the skies" (43) of the lark—that is, the emissary of Mirth. However, this passage also announces the first appearance, outside of the prologue, of Melancholy's "brooding Darkness" (6) as the contrary presence within of Melancholy's "brooding Darkness" (6) as the contrary presence within (34). In this regard, the lark—the harbinger of Mirth's "sunshine holi-(34). In this regard, the lark—the harbinger of Mirth's "sunshine holi-(34). In this regard, the lark—the harbinger of Mirth's "sunshine holi-(34). The begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, startling (and day" (98)—begins its flight before the sun has risen, start

The central persona of "L'Allegro" later sees, from an external point of view and during the light of day, "Towers, and battlements [...] / of view and during the light of day, "Towers, and battlements [...] / Bosomed high in tufted trees" (77-78); but the possibility of Mirth's Bosomed high in tufted trees" (77-78); but the possibility of Mirth's Bosomed high in tufted trees" (77-78); but the possibility of Mirth's Bosomed high in tufted trees" (77-78); but the possibility of Mirth's inner contrary. As the perhaps some beauty lies" (79) [my emphasis]—that also suggests the unsuccessfully repressed influence of Mirth's inner contrary. As the unsuccessfully repressed influence of Mirth's inner contrary. As the speaker enters the psychological space and temporality of "Towered speaker enters the psychological space and temporality of "Towered speaker [...] / And the busy hum of men" (117-18) where Mirth figures cities [...] / And the busy hum of men" (117-18) where Mirth figures cities [...] / And the busy hum of men" (127-28). These apparitions of / With mask, and antique pageantry" (127-28). These apparitions of youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream" (129-30) [my youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream" (129-30) [my youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream" (129-30) [my youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream" (129-30) [my youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream" (129-30) [my youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream" (129-30) [my youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream" (129-30) [my youthful poets dream / On summer eves by haunted stream of Melancholy emphasis]—because even here the contrary presence of Melancholy

THE COMPARATIST

shapes each of Mirth's avatars, including the "soft Lydian airs, / Married to immortal verse" (136-37) that are qualified by direct juxtaposition with "eating cares" (135). In fact the height of Mirth in this passage—"immortal verse / Such as the meeting soul may pierce" (137-38)—rapidly deteriorates into a perversion of harmonious music; those very notes, since they are filtered by the co-presence of Melancholy, become first "wanton" and then "giddy" (141) and finally dissonant: "Untwisting all the chains that tie / The hidden soul of harmony" (143-44).

(31), "calm Peace, and Quiet, / Spare Fast" (45-46), "Contemplation, / And the mute Silence" (54-55) and, most importantly, "the wandering moon, / Riding near her highest noon" (67-68). The moon serves as a counterfeit est" Melancholy's "saintly visage is too bright / To hit the sense of human sight" (12-14), the central persona of "Il Penseroso" requests the likewise stage the most pronounced moments in that dialectical and intertextual discourse between and within both works. Because "divinthe essence of Mirth, the primary speaker of "Il Penseroso" desires to meet a pure form of Melancholy; both figures, however, confront the (73-76, 77-84, 85-120) to apprehend the essence of Melancholy is "counterfeit" (80) resemblances of Melancholy. Each of these attempts "black staid wisdom's hue" (16) [my emphasis]—that is, merely each promise certain knowledge of Melancholy, but which deliver only primary speaker of "Il Penseroso" through a series of encounters that star-riding near the height of its power, its noon-that leads the substitute (albeit imperfectly) for Melancholy, such as the "pensive nun" guidance of a number of figures and symbolic objects that may each Passages in "Il Penseroso" that involve towers or tower-like structures may be apprehended without the other's dialogic and contrary influence. same problem with each conjured representative: neither state of being Just as the central persona of "L'Allegro" seeks an encounter with

After several attempts to hide "from day's garish eye" (141) and the contrary influence of Mirth, the central persona of "Il Penseroso" arrives at the poem's concluding tower-like structures—first "the studious cloister" (156) and then "the peaceful hermitage" (168). Yet even here Mirth's dialogic presence within Melancholy's various forms tinges (however slightly) the primary speaker's experience. In the cloister, "storied windows" (159) cast "a dim religious light" (160) [my emphasis] and the "full-voiced choir" sings not with melancholic sorrow, but "with sweetness" that dissolves the central persona "into ecstasies" (164-65) [my emphasis]. The primary speaker of "Il Penseroso" then imagines "spellold experience do attain / To something like prophetic strain" (173-74) [my emphasis]. Mirth's dialogical contrariety thus influences each and every attempt to discover Melancholy's pure characteristics as Milton's twin poems continue their relentless dialectic of question and answer, commandment and resistance.

COMPANIONS WITH TIME

III. Milton's "Hence" and the Historical Imagination

These delights, if thou canst give, Mirth with thee, I mean to live. ("L'Allegro," 151-52)

These pleasures Melancholy give, And I with thee will choose to live. ("Il Penseroso," 175-76)

Twin-born book, rejoicing in a single cover but with a double title-page, bright with that unlaboured neatness which a boyish hand once gave you bright with that unlaboured neatness which a boyish hand once gave you — an earnest, but not too poetic hand — while he wandered in play through — the shades of Italy or the green fields of England, roaming about, untainted the shades of Italy or the green fields of England, roaming about, untainted by the crowd, in unfrequented places, giving himself up to the music of his by the crowd, in unfrequented places, giving himself up to the music of his by the crowd, in unfrequented places, giving himself up to the ground away, strumming a Daumian string, his feet hardly touching the ground (John Milton, "To John Rouse, Librarian of Oxford University," 1-12)

each work, but they also stage the action both of that disputation and of Not only do the poems represent that meandering path of inquiry within ous ideas and experiences of two states of being: mirth and melancholy. lectical dialogue concerning a range of inter-relationships between vari-From beginning to end "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" dramatize a diacrossings—convolute/involute patterns that I have analyzed vis-à-vis the reader's reasoning process through formal and thematic intertextual continue that incessant, dialogic inter-animation; for "These delights" Milton's tropes of contiguity and contrariety. The poems' concluding, conditional requests addressed to Mirth and Melancholy, I assert further, text declares; likewise, Melancholy's "pleasures" are, to the central persona of "L'Allegro," "horrid shapes" (4) of "brooding Darkness" (6), as the "delights" are, to the primary speaker of "Il Penseroso," "gaudy shapes" and "These pleasures" each partake of one another's qualities. Mirth's (6) and "gay motes that people the sunbeams" (8), as the prologue to that observe, the companion poems, in this regard, echo the tenor of Democritus Junior's (i.e. Robert Burton's) "The Authors Abstract of choice for) either: both/and. As Shawcross (25-26) and Hurley (19-32) pleasures, and shapes contiguous and contrary—the meaning of (or prologue to that work proclaims. Each text is thus a nodus of delights, ing of joy and melancholy through the variable refrain: "All my joyes to this are folly, / Naught so sweet as melancholy" (1: lxix, 7-8). Melancholy," which, while not a verse dialogue, celebrates the intertwin-

this are folly, / INAUGHIC SOLONG TO THE MILLION STATE OF THE MILLION STATE OF THE MILLION STATE OF THE MILLION SOLD IN Which the incessant activity of interpretation plays the key role. Soul in which the incessant activity of interpretation plays the key role. Soul in which the incessant activity of interpretation plays the key role. "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" are therefore sociable, dialogic texts that "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" are therefore sociable, dialogic texts that explore complementary and contradictory passions that together shape the course of human action in the world. The poems accordingly conclude with the language of living conditionally on the cusp of immanent/im-

THE COMPARATIST

minent change—"I mean to live" and "I [. . .] will choose to live"—much like the rhetoric of Eve's last speech to Adam in *Paradise Lost*:

but now lead on;
In me is no delay; with thee to go,
Is to stay here; without thee here to stay,
Is to go hence unwilling; thou to me
Art all things under heaven, all places thou,
Who for my wilful crime art banished hence

This further consolation yet secure I carry hence; though all by me is lost, Such favour I unworthy am vouchsafed,

By me the promised seed shall all restore. (12: 614-23)

As Eve and Adam accept their charge from Michael "To leave this Paradise" (12:586) they realize that they stand literally and symbolically on the verge of history. When they go "The world [is] all before them" (12:646); each of their steps forward generates time and space, new actions and new worlds, as Eve's apt articulations of "hence" here reveal. She describes their departure first in terms of time and then space—"to go hence" and "banished hence"—then also with regard to time and space together—"I carry hence"—because within her rests the promise of "A paradise within" (12:587) that may only unfold within the drama of human time. Here Milton's hence, as in the companion poems, simultaneously looks backward and forward, instantiating temporality and spatiality, and thereby signifies a matrix in the work of literary art within and against which figural discourse may strive to constitute historicity.

in January, 1647, accompanied by an ode in Latin—his longest poem of the 1640s, "Ad Joannem Rousium Oxoniensis Academiae Bibliothecarium" ["To John Rouse, Librarian of Oxford University"]—that alludes to cryptic phrases "Twin-born book" and "double title-page." Louis Martz, cover but with a double title-page," as the third epigraph for this section of England's history and of the poet's own life as well. 19 In December, are poised, as the texts conclude, on the fold between at least two visions consequences for the primary speakers of the twin poems, both of whom in her study of his indebtedness, in "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso," to the the 1645 volume of poems as a "Twin-born book, rejoicing in a single was lost in transit (Carey, 2nd ed. 302). Milton then sent a second copy tentous than in the above passage from Paradise Lost, engenders similar Revard also underscores a similar reading of Milton's "double book" (1) for example, hears in these lines allusions to not only "the two title pages illustrates. Many critics have dwelled upon the significance of Milton's Milton Both English and Latin..., to the Bodleian Library, but the text that the poet has won for his performance in two languages" (32). Stella 1645, Milton gave a copy of his first book of verse, Poems of Mr. John [in the book] $[\ldots]$ but at the same time $[\ldots]$ the double wreath of laure] Milton's hence in "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso," though far less por

traditions of classical ode and hymn (91-127). David Norbrook sees more than biographical or literary references in such self-conscious language, observing that the ode to John Rouse "indicates how much thought Milton gave to the speech-acts of publishing and disseminating his poems" (164) at a time when the poet was seeking to create distance between one politicized image of himself and another: "the engaged writer of the 1640s from the more conservative figure who emerges in

ness to classical literature, "the courtly culture of the 1630s from [the perspective of] the turbulence of the 1640s" (Norbrook 162). Such a wished, in 1645, to align himself more favorably with the politically conand, as Martz, Revard, and Norbrook assert, might therefore have some of the earlier poems" (163). thought in the service of improving and sustaining existing systems of wherein the poet recovers the moral and political teachings of classical nostalgic rhetorical gesture would imply a cyclical model of history servative court of Charles I by invoking, through the volume's indebtedgained a reputation (owing to his anti-episcopal and divorce tracts) as an religious and civil government. On the other hand, Milton had, by 1645, order to "vindicate the spotlesse Truth from an ignominious bondage" (1: faults and blemishes of Fathers, Martyrs, or Christian Emperors" in excoriate, as he argues in Of Reformation Touching Church-Discipline iconoclastic critic of the established church and state, one who would cycles of historical degeneration and further political corruption. 535) and thereby deliver England from the danger of recapitulating In England: And the Causes that hitherto have hindered it (1641), "the On the one hand, Milton was educated as a Renaissance humanist

tion and figuration: the one, cyclical, the other, iconoclastic. Martz and dialogical negotiation between at least two methods of historical reflec-John Rouse] thus emphasize, I would add, the poet's self-conscious and ton's phrases "Twin-born book" and "double title-page" [from his ode to young poet even as [the book] arranges in sequence the poems that volume's overall composition, a forward-looking "portrait of an aspiring Revard perceive Milton working back from 1645 to fashion, through the crisis in Milton's deliberations concerning his conflicting personal and claim aesthetic and rhetorical synthesis, Norbrook, sensing anxiety and public images, accordingly posits an epistemological break in the politics introduce him to the world" (Revard 1). Compared with those views that posed yet mutually inclusive artistic, biographical, and political sensibililectical and dialogical movement between and within diametrically op-"Il Penseroso" also serves, I submit, as a pivotal trope in the design of his "Twin-born book" with a "double title-page," and thereby signals a diabook's title itself playfully recognizes. Milton's hence in "L'Allegro" and behind the debut collection of verse . . . Compos'd at several times, as the ties that together shaped the emerging poet's historical imagination. Both the biographical/literary and political interpretations of Mil-

University of Denver

NOTES

¹ All parenthetical references to Milton's poetry include line numbers only, except for the book-and-line citations from *Paradise Lost*.

On the topic of generic transformation in *Paradise Lost* and Milton's prose works, see respectively: Lewalski 3-24, 254-79; and Fish (*How* 215-55). Some of the literary forms associated with the twin poems include: poetic contests and the medieval debate; eclogues and the pastoral tradition; character-writing; the interlude; rural excursions; the argumentative verse essay; the hymn, invocation, and ode; and the lyric (Carey, 2nd ed. 134-36; Revard 91-127; Woodhouse and Bush 223-338). Critics have also often linked "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" to other works by the young poet, such as: Prolusion 1, "Whether Day or Night is the More Excellent;" Prolusion 7, "Learning brings more Blessings to Men than Ignorance;" "Ad Patrem;" "Elegy 6;" and *Comus* (Hunter 4:191-98; Patterson, "Recombination"). There has also been considerable discussion as to whether the texts constitute two separate works, or one long poem (Carey, 2nd ed. 136, Christopher; Phelan; Grace 124-30; Allen 3-23).

of the art of debate during his education at both St. Paul's School, London (1620-25) and Christ's College, Cambridge (1625-32), see Hunter 2:121-25 and Ong 114. (Finch and Bowen; Patterson, "Constraint" 14; Council; Miller). On Milton's study or dialogical tensions between the two texts that complicate binary taxonomies knowledge what some critics have more recently perceived to be either dialectical philosophical meditation and religious service ("Il Penseroso"). The tradition of to the Aristotelian sensibility of "Il Penseroso" on the grounds that Milton's poems and Aristotelian melancholy (Hurley 19-58; Carey, 1st ed. 131). However, all of cally situate the works within the context of Renaissance quarrels between Galenic seroso" to a genre closely related to the dialogue—medieval debate—and specifia passing allusion in one sentence (Merrill 28). On the other hand, many studies, the secular world of youthful innocence ("L'Allegro") in favor of a life devoted to should be read progressively because they portray his own striving to leave behind those interpretations inevitably subordinate the Galenic melancholy of "L'Allegro" following essays by Babb (1940) and Samuel (1958), link "L' Allegro" and "Il Penion poems within the tradition of Renaissance dialogue yet only does so by way of debate' thus invoked by such arguments is somewhat one-sided and does not ac-To the best of my knowledge, only one critical text places Milton's compan-

In December, 1638, while in Naples, Milton met Giovanni Battista Manso (a close friend and biographer of Tasso) for whom he wrote a poem in Latin, "Mansus," before leaving the city to continue his travels through Italy (Parker 173-76; Arthos 92-108). In "Mansus," Milton praises Tasso as one of "Phoebus's followers" and expresses his own wish to "find such a friend" (Carey 2nd ed. 269). At least three of Milton's prose works include several references to Tasso: the Commonplace Book (1631?-1667?), The Reason of Church-Government (1641-42) and Of Education (1644). We also know, according to Boswell (238-39), that Milton's library contained six of Tasso's works, including "Discourses on the Art of Poetry" (1587).

⁵ Machacek; Rhu 77-98; Kates; Hunter 8:51-52; Prince 34-57.

7 Kietzman; Carrithers and Hardy; Lieb, Sinews 76-97; Lieb, "Celestial Dia-

8 Loewenstein 8-50; Guibbory 169-211.

9 Macovski 3-40;, Gorak 1; Snyder 1-38; Wilson 1-21; Merle Brown 1-19;

ton 1-3 and Solerti 1:53-64. of Sperone Speroni and Carlo Sigonio on his theory of dialogue, see Lord and Traf-On Tasso's education at the University of Padua in 1560 and the influence

- discourses (e.g. satire) and traditions (e.g. utopian writing and pastoral poetry). The affinities and distinctions between mirth and melancholy in Milton's twin poems Tasso's concern with interrelated modes (i.e. civil/moral and speculative) as well as themes (i.e. action and contemplation) parallels quite strikingly Milton's exemplified in dialogues by Plato, Cicero, and More, as well as in other literary works, of dialectical tensions between actio/contemplatio and negotium/otium, as "L'Allegro" and "Il Penseroso" and thereby signals the presence, in each of these complex attitude toward the social inter-involvement of mirth and melancholy in activa and the vivere civile, see Pocock 49-80. Quillen 182-216 and Sturm-Maddox 101-30. On the dialectic between the vita directly informed Petrarch's self-reflexive stylistics. On Petrarch's dialogues, see the Renaissance tradition of literary dialogue, or to Augustine's Confessions, which could also be traced back to Petrarch's thematic in his Secretum, which inaugurates
- By "limned kairos," I mean the fullness of time as figured forth and thereby apprehended on a phenomenological level of the text's intertextual drama.
- and humble stile by maner of Dialogue, uttered the private and familiar talke of the glish verse dialogue with the Western tradition of pastoral poetry that begins with poetic dialogue recorded in Early English Books (1475-1700) is "A fruytful short meanest sort of men, as shepheards, heywards and such like" (26). The earliest The Idylls of Theocritus and The Eclogues of Virgil. In them he observes "in base 2nd ed. #20523]. dialogue uppon the sentence, knowe before thou knitte" (1569) by C. Pyrrye [STC George Puttenham, in The Arte of English Poesie (1589), associates En-
- Woodhouse and Bush 2.1: 223-338; Brooks 50-66
- Swaim, "Cycle and Circle" 431. For challenges to binary readings of the twin poems, see Eric Brown; Finch and Bowen; Patterson, "Constraint" 14; Gerard Cox 28; Council; and Miller. For permutations of these antitheses, see, for example Burnett 13 and
- poral and spatial role of the word's generative connotations between and within the seroso," and Comus ("Recombination" 76); but her study does not address the temin what she calls Milton's 'logic of recombination' between "L'Allegro," "Il Pen-Patterson, though, has noted a somewhat related significance of "hence"

THE COMPARATIST

of Chaos" (2:273). Each of the twin poems' prologues accordingly welcomes an identity depends upon the other's dialogical difference. between the two texts, but contrary forces within each work because each poem's "apt and cheerfull conversation" (2:235) involving not only contiguous relationships both parties, just as "when by [God's] divorcing command the world first rose out 2:229), the resulting separation paradoxically creates interdependent autonomy for epistemology of divorce. When divorce follows the rule of charity (Complete Prose This rhetorical redoubling also complements a key formulation in Milton's

Finch and Bowen 15; Woodhouse and Bush 2.1: 241-338; Miller 34; Allen

see Swaim, "'Myself a True Poem"; Revard 91-127; and Martz 31-59. On the topic of Milton's self-fashioning in his 1645 collection of poems

19

WORKS CITED

Allen, Don Cameron. The Harmonious Vision: Studies in Milton's Poetry. 1954. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins UP, 1970.

Aristotle. Poetics. Trans. M. E. Hubbard. A New Aristotle Reader. Ed. J. L. Ackrill Princeton: Princeton UP, 1987. 540-56.

Arthos, John. Milton and the Italian Cities. London: Bowes and Bowes, 1968.

Bakhtin, M. M. The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays. 1981. Trans. Caryl Emerson and Michael Holquist. Austin: U of Texas P, 1990.

Boswell, Jackson Campbell. Milton's Library: A Catalogue of the Remains of John Ancillary Readings. New York: Garland, 1975. Milton's Library and an Annotated Reconstruction of Milton's Library and

Brand, C. P. Torquato Tasso: A Study of the Poet and of his Contribution to English Literature. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1965.

Brooks, Cleanth. The Well Wrought Urn: Studies in the Structure of Poetry. 1947.

New York: Harcourt Brace & Co., 1975.

Brown, Eric C. "The Melting Voices Through Mazes Running": The Dissolution of Borders in 'L'Allegro' and 'll Penseroso'." Milton Studies 40 (2002): 1-18.

Brown, Merle E. Double Lyric: Divisiveness and Communal Creativity in Recent English Poetry. New York: Columbia UP, 1980.

Burnett, Archie. Milton's Style: The Shorter Poems, Paradise Regained, and Samson Agonistes. London: Longman, 1981.

Burton, Robert. The Anatomy of Melancholy. Vol. 1. Ed. Thomas C. Faulkner, et al. Oxford: Clarendon, 1989.

Carey, John, Ed. Milton: Complete Shorter Poems. 1968. London: Longman, 1971. -, Ed. Milton: Complete Shorter Poems. 1968. 2nd ed. London: Longman.

Christopher, Georgia B. "Subject and Macrosubject in L'Allegro and Il Penseroso Carrithers, Gale H., Jr. and James D. Hardy, Jr. "Miltonic Dialogue and Metadiain the Enlightenmnet. Ed. Kevin L. Cope. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 1992. 54-64 logue in Paradise Lost." Compendious Conversations: The Method of Dialogue

Coms, Thomas N. Milton's Language. Oxford: Blackwell, 1990 Milton Studies 28 (1992): 23-35.

Cox, Gerard H. "Unbinding 'The Hidden Soul of Harmony': L'Allegro and Il Penseroso, and the Hermetic Tradition." Milton Studies 18 (1983): 45-62. edge'." Milton Studies 9 (1976): 203-19.

Cox, Virginia. The Renaissance Dialogue: Literary Dialogue in its Social and Political Contexts, Castiglione to Galileo. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1992.

Daniells, Roy. Milton, Mannerism and Baroque. 1963. Toronto: U of Toronto P,

Derrida, Jacques. "The Law of Genre." Trans. Avital Ronell. Critical Inquiry 7.1 (1980): 55-81.

Dryden, John. "A Defense of 'An Essay of Dramatic Poesy'." Essays of John Dryden. Ed. W. P. Ker. Vol. 1. Oxford: Clarendon, 1926. 110-33.

The Works of Lucian. London: Samuel Briscoe, 1711.

Finch, Casey and Peter Bowen. "The Solitary Companionship of 'L' Allegro' and 'II Penseroso'." Milton Studies 26 (1991): 3-24.

Fish, Stanley. How Milton Works. Cambridge: Harvard UP, 2001.

Cambridge: Harvard UP, 1980. —. Is There a Text in This Class?: The Authority of Interpretive Communities.

Folsom, Lowell. "L'Allegro' and 'Il Penseroso': The Poetics of Accelerando and Ritardando." Studies in the Humanities 5.1 (1976): 39-41.

Gorak, Irene E. "The Satirist as Producer. Mandeville's The Fable of the Bees Part Two." Genre 23.1 (1990): 1-14.

Grace, William J. Ideas in Milton. Notre Dame: U Notre Dame P, 1968.

Guibbory, Achsah. The Map of Time: Seventeenth-Century English Literature and Ideas of Pattern in History. Urbana: U of Illinois P, 1986.

"Hence." The Compact Edition of the Oxford English Dictionary. 1971 ed.

Hunter, William B., Jr., ed. A Milton Encyclopedia. 8 vols. Lewisburg: Bucknell UP, 1978.

Hurley, C. Harold. The Sources and Traditions of Milton's L'Allegro and Il Penseroso. Lewiston: Edwin Mellen, 1999.

Il Tasso. A Dialogue. The Speakers John Milton, Torquato Tasso. London: R. Baldwin, 1762.

Johnson, Samuel. Lives of the Most Eminent English Poets. 3 vols. London: John Murray, 1854.

Kates, Judith A. Tasso and Milton: The Problem of Christian Epic. Lewisburg: Bucknell UP, 1983.

Keener, Frederick M. English Dialogues of the Dead: A Critical History, An Anthology, and a Check List. New York: Columbia UP, 1973.

Kermode, Frank. The Sense of an Ending: Studies in the Theory of Fiction. Oxford: Oxford UP, 2000.

Kietzman, Mary Jo. "The Fall into Conversation with Eve: Discursive Difference in Paradise Lost." Criticism 39.1 (1997): 55-88.

Lewalski, Barbara Kiefer. Paradise Lost and the Rhetoric of Literary Forms.

Princeton: Princeton UP, 1985. Lieb, Michael. "Milton's *Dramatick Constitution': The Celestial Dialogue in Paradise Lost, Book III." Ed. James D. Simmonds. Milton Studies 23 (1988):

burgh: Duquesne UP, 1989 The Sinews of Ulysses: Form and Convention in Milton's Works. Pitts

THE COMPARATIST

Loewenstein, David. Milton and the Drama of History: Historical Vision, Iconoclasm, and Literary Imagination. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1990.

Lord, Carnes and Dain A. Trafton. Introduction. Tasso's Dialogues: A Selection with the Discourse on the Art of the Dialogue. Berkeley: U of California P, 1982. 1-13.

Cithara 40.1 (2000): 37-49.

Macovski, Michael. Dialogue and Literature: Apostrophe, Auditors, and the Machacek, Gregory. "Paradise Lost, Christian Epic and the Familiar Sublime."

Collapse of Romantic Discourse. New York: Oxford UP, 1994.

Martz, Louis L. Milton: Poet of Exile. 1980. New Haven: Yale UP, 1986.

Mecke, Jochen. "Dialogue in Narration (the Narrative Principle)." The Interpretation of Dialogue. Ed. Tullio Maranhao. Chicago: U of Chicago P, 1990.

Merrill, Elizabeth. The Dialogue in English Literature. 1911. New Haven: Archon Books, 1969.

Miller, David M. "From Delusion to Illumination: A Larger Structure for L'Allegro-Il Penseroso." PMLA 86.1 (1971): 32-39.

Milton, John. Complete Prose Works of John Milton. 6 vols. Ed. Don M. Wolfe New Haven: Yale UP, 1953.

Longman, 1997. —. Milton: Complete Shorter Poems. 1968. Ed. John Carey. 2nd ed. London

-. Paradise Lost. 1968. Ed. Alastair Fowler. London: Longman, 1971.

Norbrook, David. Writing the English Republic: Poetry, Rhetoric, and Politics. Mukarovsky, Jan. The Word and Verbal Art: Selected Essays by Jan Mukarovsky. Trans. and Ed. John Burbank and Peter Steiner. New Haven: Yale UP, 1977.

Ong, Walter J. Ramus: Method, and the Decay of Dialogue; From the Art of 1627-1660. 1999. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 2000. Discourse to the Art of Reason. New York: Octagon, 1974.

Osmond, Rosalie. Mutual Accusation. Toronto: U of Toronto P, 1990.

Pallavicino, Sforza. Trattato dello stile e del dialogo. Roma: G. Casoni, 1662. Parker, William Riley. Milton: A Biography. 1968. Ed. Gordon Campbell. 2nd ed. Vol. 1. Oxford: Clarendon, 1996.

Patterson, Annabel. "Forc'd fingers': Milton's Early Poems and Ideological Con-Missouri P, 1988. 9-22. straint." "The Muses Common-Weale": Poetry and Politics in the Seventeenth Century. Ed. Claude J. Summers and Ted-Larry Pebworth. Columbia: U of

----. "L'Allegro', 'Il Penseroso' and Comus: The Logic of Recombination." Milton Quarterly 9.3 (1975): 75-9.

Phelan, Herbert J. "What Is the Persona Doing in L'Allegro and Il Penseroso?" Milton Studies 22 (1986): 3-20.

Pocock, J. G. A. The Machiavellian Moment: Florentine Political Thought and the Atlantic Republican Tradition. Princeton: Princeton UP, 1975.

Prince, F. T. The Italian Element in Milton's Verse. 1954. Oxford: Clarendon

Purpus, Eugene R. "The 'Plain, Easy, and Familiar Way": The Dialogue in English Literature, 1660-1725." ELH 17 (1950): 47-58.

Puttenham, George. The Arte of English Poesie. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1936. Pyrrye, C. "A fruytful short dialogue uppon the sentence, knowe before thou knitte." London: William How, 1569.

COMPANIONS WITH TIME

Quillen, Carol Everhart. Rereading the Renaissance: Petrach, Augustine, and the

Language of Humanism. Ann Arbor: U of Michigan P, 1998.
Revard, Stella P. Milton and the Tangles of Neaera's Hair: The Making of the 1645
Poems. Columbia: U of Missouri P, 1997.

Rhu, Lawrence F. The Genesis of Tasso's Narrative Theory: English Translations of the Poetics and a Comparative Study of Their Significance. Detroit: Wayne

State UP, 1993.
Shawcross, John T. John Milton: The Self and the World. Lexington: U of Ken-

tucky P, 1993.

Shoaf, R. A. Milton, Poet of Duality: A Study of Semiotics in the Poetry and the Prose. New Haven: Yale UP, 1985.

Siegle, Robert. The Politics of Reflexivity: Narrative and the Constitutive Poetics of Culture. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins UP, 1986.

Sipiora, Phillip and James S. Baumlin, eds. Rhetoric and Kairos: Essays in History, Theory, and Praxis. Albany: State U of New York P, 2002.

Sirluck, Ernest. "Areopagitica: Preface and Notes." Milton, Complete Prose Works.

2: 53-136, 158-81.

Snyder, Jon R. Writing the Scene of Speaking: Theories of Dialogue in the Late Italian Renaissance. Stanford: Stanford UP, 1989.

Solerti, Angelo. Vita di Torquato Tasso. 3 vols. Torino: Ermanno Loescher, 1895. Sturm-Maddox, Sara. Petrarch's Laurels. University Park: Pennsylvania State UP,

Swaim, Kathleen M. "Cycle and Circle: Time and Structure in L'Allegro and Il Penseroso." Texas Studies in Literature and Language 18.3 (1976): 422-32.

""Myself a True Poem": Early Milton and the (Re)formation of the Subject." Milton Studies 38 (2000): 66-95.

Tasso, Torquato. "Discourse on the Art of the Dialogue." Tasso's Dialogues: A Selection with the Discourse on the Art of the Dialogue. Trans. Carnes Lord and Dain A. Trafton. Berkeley: U of California P, 1982. 15-41.

Todorov, Tzvetan. Genres in Discourse. Trans. Catherine Porter. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1990.

Trent, W.P. and Chauncey Brewster Tinker, eds. L'Allegro and Il Penseroso With the Paintings by William Blake. By John Milton. New York: Heritage, 1954. Wilson, K. J. Incomplete Fictions: The Formation of English Renaissance Dialogue. Washington, DC: Catholic U of America P, 1985.

Woodhouse, A. S. P. and Douglas Bush., eds. A Variorum Commentary on The Poems of John Milton. 6 vols. New York: Columbia UP, 1972.

THE COMPARATIST

THE MYTH OF POETRY: ON HEIDEGGER'S "HÖLDERLIN"

Andreas Grossmann

Martin Heidegger closely associated his thought with poetry, especially Friedrich Hölderlin's. But where precisely can this connection be detected? Why, as Hans-Georg Gadamer claimed, is "Hölderlin always at the center" of Heidegger's thought? (76ff, 81). To put the question in other terms, why did Heidegger regard thought as being brought to a decision in Hölderlin's poetry—a decision against what in his view was representational thinking and for an "other beginning" of thought?

In my view, the dialogue that Heidegger envisioned with Hölderlin involves at key points the problem of myth. The fact that myth, superbly expressed in Hölderlin's poetry, "remains the most thoughtworthy thing," as Heidegger puts it in Was heißt Denken? [What Is Called Thinking?] (Vorträge 131), compels Heidegger to "draw Hölderlin's poetic language into the realm of thought" (132). Poetry and thinking thus gain an unanticipated proximity. Their dialogue can, however, only be expected to succeed, Heidegger insists, "If the gap between poetry and thought gapes purely and decisively" (132). Thus, a proximity between poetry and thinking can only properly be claimed on the basis of their irreducible remoteness. Poetry and thought are not the same, but are nonetheless able "to say the same in different ways" (132). Thus, for Heidegger the decisive myth contained in Hölderlin's poetry—the "holy"—finds an echo in the philosopher's thinking of being: "The thinker evokes being. The poet names the holy" (Was ist Metaphysik? 51).

The following discussion will bring the basic features of Heidegger's specific intellectual relationship to Hölderlin's poetry to mind. As a matter of course, only certain significant aspects can be examined more closely. My reflections shall focus not so much on philological details, but rather on the overall constellation of the "Heidegger-Hölderlin" relationship at issue here. Discussion will center on the motives guiding the thinker in his dialogue with the poet—in my opinion the only points that promise an appropriate determination of his relationship to the poet. Not the least among these motives are the political implications that, from the very beginning, were involved in this relationship. It will become clear that Heidegger's "Hölderlin" had problematic political overtones.

:

e g

With Heidegger's first Hölderlin lecture in 1934-35, poetry became the thinker's key partner in the search for an "other beginning" of thought. This approach, which thereafter characterized Heidegger's thought, originated with a certain kind of philosophy of history. In searching for the